# **INMOTC-DECEMBER 2023**

Department of Mathematics University of Kashmir, Srinagar-190006 <u>https://maths.uok.edu.in</u>

Dr. M.A. Khanday (Joint Coordinator-IMO, Kashmir Region) Professor & Head Department of Mathematics, University of Kashmir-190006 khanday@uok.edu.in https://maths.uok.edu.in/Main/ProfilePage.aspx?Profile=0230

# Topics on Algebra for INMO

- Basics on functions
  - Increasing & Decreasing functions
  - Even and Odd functions
- Polynomials
- Fundamental theorem of algebra
- Fundamental theorem of arithmetic's
- Problems and Solutions for INMO exam

# Problem-1:

Let a, b, and c be real and positive parameters. Solve the equation

$$\sqrt{a+bx} + \sqrt{b+cx} + \sqrt{c+ax} = \sqrt{b-ax} + \sqrt{c-bx} + \sqrt{a-cx}.$$

# Solution 1

It is easy to see that x = 0 is a solution. Since the right hand side is a decreasing function of x and the left hand side is an increasing function of x, there is at most one solution.

Thus x = 0 is the only solution to the equation.

Find the general term of the sequence defined by  $x_0 = 3$ ,  $x_1 = 4$  and

$$x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}^2 - nx_n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Solution 2

We shall prove by induction that  $x_n = n + 3$ . The claim is evident for n = 0, 1.

For  $k \ge 1$ , if  $x_{k-1} = k+2$  and  $x_k = k+3$ , then

$$x_{k+1} = x_{k-1}^2 - kx_k = (k+2)^2 - k(k+3) = k+4,$$

as desired.

This completes the induction.

# Problem 3 [AHSME 1999]

Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  be a sequence of integers such that

(i) 
$$-1 \le x_i \le 2$$
, for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ ;

(ii) 
$$x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 19;$$

(iii) 
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 99.$$

Determine the minimum and maximum possible values of

$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \cdots + x_n^3.$$

## Solution 3

Let a, b, and c denote the number of -1s, 1s, and 2s in the sequence, respectively. We need not consider the zeros. Then a, b, c are nonnegative integers satisfying

$$-a + b + 2c = 19$$
 and  $a + b + 4c = 99$ .

It follows that a = 40 - c and b = 59 - 3c, where  $0 \le c \le 19$  (since  $b \ge 0$ ), so

$$x_1^3 + x_2^3 + \dots + x_n^3 = -a + b + 8c = 19 + 6c.$$

5

When c = 0 (a = 40, b = 59), the lower bound (19) is achieved. When c = 19 (a = 21, b = 2), the upper bound (133) is achieved.

# Problem 4 [AIME 1997]

The function f, defined by

$$f(x)=\frac{ax+b}{cx+d},$$

where a, b, c, and d are nonzero real numbers, has the properties

$$f(19) = 19$$
,  $f(97) = 97$ , and  $f(f(x)) = x$ ,  
for all values of  $x$ , except  $-\frac{d}{c}$ .  
Find the range of  $f$ .

# Solution 4, Alternative 1

For all x, f(f(x)) = x, i.e.,

for all

$$\frac{a\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right)+b}{c\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right)+d} = x,$$

i.e.

$$\frac{(a^2+bc)x+b(a+d)}{c(a+d)x+bc+d^2}=x,$$

i.e.

$$c(a+d)x^{2} + (d^{2} - a^{2})x - b(a+d) = 0,$$

which implies that c(a + d) = 0. Since  $c \neq 0$ , we must have a = -d. The conditions f(19) = 19 and f(97) = 97 lead to the equations

$$19^2c = 2 \cdot 19a + b$$
 and  $97^2c = 2 \cdot 97a + b$ .

Hence

$$(97^2 - 19^2)c = 2(97 - 19)a.$$

It follows that a = 58c, which in turn leads to b = -1843c. Therefore

$$f(x) = \frac{58x - 1843}{x - 58} = 58 + \frac{1521}{x - 58},$$

which never has the value 58.

Thus the range of f is  $\mathbb{R} - \{58\}_{handay@uok.edu.in}$ 

### Solution 4, Alternative 2

The statement implies that f is its own inverse. The inverse may be found by solving the equation

$$x = \frac{ay+b}{cy+d}$$

for y. This yields

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{dx - b}{-cx + a}.$$

The nonzero numbers a, b, c, and d must therefore be proportional to d, -b, -c, and a, respectively; it follows that a = -d, and the rest is the same as in the first solution.

### Problem 5

Prove that

$$\frac{(a-b)^2}{8a} \le \frac{a+b}{2} - \sqrt{ab} \le \frac{(a-b)^2}{8b}$$

for all  $a \ge b > 0$ .

### Solution 5, Alternative 1

Note that

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{a}}\right)^2 \leq 1 \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{b}}\right)^2,$$
khanday@uok.edu.in

i.e.

$$\frac{(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2}{4a} \le (\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 \le \frac{(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2}{4b},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{(a-b)^2}{8a} \le \frac{a-2\sqrt{ab}+b}{2} \le \frac{(a-b)^2}{8b},$$

from which the result follows.

# Solution 5, Alternative 2

Note that

$$\frac{a+b}{2} - \sqrt{ab} = \frac{\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2 - ab}{\frac{a+b}{2} + \sqrt{ab}} = \frac{(a-b)^2}{2(a+b) + 4\sqrt{ab}}.$$

Thus the desired inequality is equivalent to

$$4a \ge a + b + 2\sqrt{ab} \ge 4b,$$

which is evident as  $a \ge b > 0$  (which implies  $a \ge \sqrt{ab} \ge b$ ).

# Problem 6 [St. Petersburg 1989]

Several (at least two) nonzero numbers are written on a board. One may erase any two numbers, say a and b, and then write the numbers  $a + \frac{b}{2}$  and  $b - \frac{a}{2}$  instead.

Prove that the set of numbers on the board, after any number of the preceding operations, cannot coincide with the initial set.

## Solution 6

Let S be the sum of the squares of the numbers on the board. Note that S increases in the first operation and does not decrease in any successive operation, as

$$\left(a+\frac{b}{2}\right)^{2} + \left(b-\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{5}{4}(a^{2}+b^{2}) \ge a^{2}+b^{2}$$

with equality only if a = b = 0. This completes the proof.

#### Problem 7 [AIME 1986]

The polynomial

$$1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \cdots + x^{16} - x^{17}$$

may be written in the form

$$a_0 + a_1y + a_2y^2 + \cdots + a_{16}y^{16} + a_{17}y^{17}$$

where y = x + 1 and  $a_i$ s are constants. Find  $a_2$ .

#### Solution 7, Alternative 1

Let f(x) denote the given expression. Then

$$xf(x) = x - x^2 + x^3 - \cdots - x^{18}$$

and

$$(1+x)f(x) = 1 - x^{18}$$

Hence

$$f(x) = f(y-1) = \frac{1 - (y-1)^{18}}{1 + (y-1)} = \frac{1 - (y-1)^{18}}{y}$$

Therefore  $a_2$  is equal to the coefficient of  $y^3$  in the expansion of

$$1-(y-1)^{18}$$
,

i.e.,

$$a_2 = \binom{18}{3} = 816.$$

#### Solution 7, Alternative 2

Let f(x) denote the given expression. Then

$$f(x) = f(y-1) = 1 - (y-1) + (y-1)^2 - \dots - (y-1)^{17}$$
  
= 1 + (1-y) + (1-y)^2 + \dots + (1-y)^{17}.

Thus

$$a_2 = \binom{2}{2} + \binom{3}{2} + \dots + \binom{17}{2} = \binom{18}{3}.$$

Here we used the formula

$$\binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k+1} = \binom{n+1}{k+1}$$

and the fact that

$$\binom{2}{2} = \binom{3}{3} = 1.$$
khanday@uok.edu.in

Let a, b, and c be distinct nonzero real numbers such that

$$a+\frac{1}{b}=b+\frac{1}{c}=c+\frac{1}{a}$$

Prove that |abc| = 1.

### Solution 8

From the given conditions it follows that

$$a-b=rac{b-c}{bc},\,\,b-c=rac{c-a}{ca},\,\, ext{and}\,\,c-a=rac{a-b}{ab}.$$

Multiplying the above equations gives  $(abc)^2 = 1$ , from which the desired result follows.

### Problem 9 [Putnam 1999]

Find polynomials f(x), g(x), and h(x), if they exist, such that for all x,

$$|f(x)| - |g(x)| + h(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} -1 & ext{if } x < -1 \ 3x + 2 & ext{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \ -2x + 2 & ext{if } x > 0. \end{array} 
ight.$$

Find all real numbers x for which

$$\frac{8^x + 27^x}{12^x + 18^x} = \frac{7}{6}$$

#### Solution 10

By setting  $2^x = a$  and  $3^x = b$ , the equation becomes

$$\frac{a^3 + b^3}{a^2b + b^2a} = \frac{7}{6},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{a^2-ab+b^2}{ab}=\frac{7}{6},$$

i.e.

$$6a^2 - 13ab + 6b^2 = 0$$

i.e.

(2a - 3b)(3a - 2b) = 0.

Therefore  $2^{x+1} = 3^{x+1}$  or  $2^{x-1} = 3^{x-1}$ , which implies that x = -1 and x = 1.

It is easy to check that both x = -1 and x = 1 satisfy the given equation.

#### Problem 11 [Romania 1990]

Find the least positive integer m such that

$$\binom{2n}{n}^{\frac{1}{n}} < m$$

for all positive integers n.

#### Solution 11

Note that

$$\binom{2n}{n} < \binom{2n}{0} + \binom{2n}{1} + \dots + \binom{2n}{2n} = (1+1)^{2n} = 4^n$$

k

and for n = 5,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ manual mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix} = 252 > 3^5.$$

Thus m = 4.

Let a, b, c, d, and e be positive integers such that

abcde = a + b + c + d + e.

Find the maximum possible value of  $\max\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ .

#### Solution 12, Alternative 1

Suppose that  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d \leq e$ . We need to find the maximum value of e. Since

$$e < a + b + c + d + e \le 5e,$$

then  $e < abcde \leq 5e$ , i.e.  $1 < abcd \leq 5$ .

Hence (a, b, c, d) = (1, 1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 1, 4), (1, 1, 2, 2), or (1, 1, 1, 5), which leads to max $\{e\} = 5$ .

#### Solution 12, Alternative 2

As before, suppose that  $a \leq b \leq c \leq d \leq e$ . Note that

$$1 = \frac{1}{bcde} + \frac{1}{cdea} + \frac{1}{deab} + \frac{1}{eabc} + \frac{1}{abcd}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{de} + \frac{1}{de} + \frac{1}{de} + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{d} = \frac{3+d+e}{de}$$

Therefore,  $de \leq 3 + d + e$  or  $(d - 1)(e - 1) \leq 4$ . If d = 1, then a = b = c = 1 and 4 + e = e, which is impossible. Thus  $d - 1 \geq 1$  and  $e - 1 \leq 4$  or  $e \leq 5$ . It is easy to see that (1, 1, 1, 2, 5) is a solution. Therefore max $\{e\} = 5$ .

**Comment:** The second solution can be used to determine the maximum value of  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ , when  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are positive integers such that

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n=x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n.$$

#### Problem 13

Evaluate

$$\frac{3}{1!+2!+3!} + \frac{4}{2!+3!+4!} + \frac{4}{1999!+2000!+2001!}$$

#### Solution 13

Note that

$$\frac{k+2}{k! + (k+1)! + (k+2)!} = \frac{k+2}{k![1+k+1+(k+1)(k+2)]}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k!(k+2)}$$
$$= \frac{k+1}{(k+2)!}$$
$$= \frac{(k+2)-1}{(k+2)!}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} - \frac{1}{(k+2)!}.$$

By telescoping sum, the desired value is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2001!}$$

#### Problem 14

Let 
$$x = \sqrt{a^2 + a + 1} - \sqrt{a^2 - a + 1}$$
,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Find all possible values of x.

#### Solution 14, Alternative 1

Since

$$\sqrt{a^2+|a|+1}>|a|$$

and

$$x = \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + a + 1} + \sqrt{a^2 - a + 1}},$$

we have

$$|x| < |2a/a| = 2.$$

Squaring both sides of

$$x + \sqrt{a^2 - a + 1} = \sqrt{a^2 + a + 1}$$

yields

khanday@uok.edu.in

$$2x\sqrt{a^2 - a + 1} = 2a - x^2.$$

Squaring both sides of the above equation gives

$$4(x^2-1)a^2 = x^2(x^2-4)$$
 or  $a^2 = \frac{x^2(x^2-4)}{4(x^2-1)}$ .

Since  $a^2 \ge 0$ , we must have

$$x^2(x^2-4)(x^2-1) \ge 0,$$

Since |x| < 2,  $x^2 - 4 < 0$  which forces  $x^2 - 1 < 0$ . Therefore, -1 < x < 1. Conversely, for every  $x \in (-1, 1)$  there exists a real number a such that

$$x = \sqrt{a^2 + a + 1} - \sqrt{a^2 - a + 1}.$$

#### Solution 14, Alternative 2

Let  $A = (-1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ ,  $B = (1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$ , and P = (a, 0). Then P is a point on the x-axis and we are looking for all possible values of d = PA - PB.

By the **Triangle Inequality**, |PA - PB| < |AB| = 1. And it is clear that all the values -1 < d < 1 are indeed obtainable. In fact, for such a d, a half hyperbola of all points Q such that QA - QB = d is well defined. (Points A and B are foci of the hyperbola.)

Since line AB is parallel to the x-axis, this half hyperbola intersects the x- axis, i.e., P is well defined.

#### Problem 15

Find all real numbers x for which

$$10^x + 11^x + 12^x = 13^x + 14^x.$$

#### Solution 15

It is easy to check that x = 2 is a solution. We claim that it is the only one. In fact, dividing by  $13^x$  on both sides gives

$$\left(\frac{10}{13}\right)^{x} + \left(\frac{11}{13}\right)^{x} + \left(\frac{12}{13}\right)^{x} = 1 + \left(\frac{14}{13}\right)^{x}.$$

The left hand side is a decreasing function of x and the right hand side is an increasing function of  $x_{khanday@uok.edu.in}$ Therefore their graphs can have at most one point of intersection. **Comment:** More generally,

$$a^{2} + (a + 1)^{2} + \dots + (a + k)^{2}$$
  
=  $(a + k + 1)^{2} + (a + k + 2)^{2} + \dots + (a + 2k)^{2}$ 

for  $a = k(2k + 1), k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Problem 16 [Korean Mathematics Competition 2001]

Let  $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a function such that f(1,1) = 2,

$$f(m+1,n) = f(m,n) + m$$
 and  $f(m,n+1) = f(m,n) - n$ 

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Find all pairs (p,q) such that f(p,q) = 2001.

#### Solution 16

We have

Therefore

$$rac{p(p-1)}{2} - rac{q(q-1)}{2} = 1999,$$

i.e.

$$(p-q)(p+q-1) = 2 \cdot 1999.$$

Note that 1999 is a prime number and that p-q < p+q-1 for  $p,q \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have the following two cases:

1. 
$$p-q = 1$$
 and  $p+q-1 = 3998$ . Hence  $p = 2000$  and  $q = 1999$ .  
khanday@uok.edu.in  
2.  $p-q = 2$  and  $p+q-1 = 1999$ . Hence  $p = 1001$  and  $q = 999$ .

Therefore (p,q) = (2000, 1999) or (1001, 999).

#### Problem 17 [China 1983]

Let f be a function defined on [0, 1] such that

$$f(0) = f(1) = 1$$
 and  $|f(a) - f(b)| < |a - b|,$ 

for all  $a \neq b$  in the interval [0, 1].

Prove that

$$|f(a) - f(b)| < \frac{1}{2}$$

#### Solution 17

We consider the following cases.

1. 
$$|a-b| \le 1/2$$
. Then  $|f(a) - f(b)| < |a-b| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , as desired.

**2.** |a-b| > 1/2. By symmetry, we may assume that a > b. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(a) - f(b)| &= |f(a) - f(1) + f(0) - f(b)| \\ &\leq |f(a) - f(1)| + |f(0) - f(b)| \\ &< |a - 1| + |0 - b| \\ &= 1 - a + b - 0 \\ &= 1 - (a - b) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

#### Problem 18

Find all pairs of integers (x, y) such that

$$x^3 + y^3 = (x + y)^2.$$

#### Solution 18

Since  $x^3 + y^3 = (x + y)(x^2 - xy + y^2)$ , all pairs of integers  $(n, -n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are solutions.

Suppose that  $x + y \neq 0$ . Then the equation becomes

$$x^2 - xy + y^2 = x + y,$$

i.e.

$$x_{\text{khanday}}^2 (y_{\text{ubk,edu.in}} + y_{\text{ubk,edu.in}}^2) = 0.$$
 18

Treated as a quadratic equation in x, we calculate the discriminant

$$\Delta = y^2 + 2y + 1 - 4y^2 + 4y = -3y^2 + 6y + 1.$$

Solving for  $\Delta \ge 0$  yields

$$\frac{3-2\sqrt{3}}{3} \leq y \leq \frac{3+2\sqrt{3}}{3}$$

Thus the possible values for y are 0, 1, and 2, which lead to the solutions (1,0), (0,1), (1,2), (2,1), and (2,2).

Therefore, the integer solutions of the equation are  $(x, y) = (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), \text{ and } (n, -n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

#### Problem 19 [Korean Mathematics Competition 2001] Let

$$f(x) = \frac{2}{4^x + 2}$$

for real numbers x. Evaluate

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2001}\right) + f\left(\frac{2}{2001}\right) + \dots + f\left(\frac{2000}{2001}\right).$$

#### Solution 19

Note that f has a half-turn symmetry about point (1/2, 1/2). Indeed,

$$f(1-x) = \frac{2}{4^{1-x}+2} = \frac{2 \cdot 4^x}{4+2 \cdot 4^x} = \frac{4^x}{4^x+2},$$

from which it follows that f(x) + f(1 - x) = 1. Thus the desired sum is equal to 1000.

#### Problem 20

Prove that for  $n \ge 6$  the equation

$$\frac{1}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n^2} = 1$$

has integer solutions.

#### Solution 20

Note that

$$\frac{1}{a^2} = \frac{1}{(2a)^2} + \frac{handay}{(2a)^2} + \frac{uok.ed\mu.in1}{(2a)^2},$$
19

from which it follows that if  $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$  is an integer solution to

$$\frac{1}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n^2} = 1,$$

then

$$egin{aligned} &(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_{n-1},x_n,x_{n+1},x_{n+2},x_{n+3})\ &=(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_{n-1},2a_n,2a_n,2a_n,2a_n,) \end{aligned}$$

is an integer solution to

$$\frac{1}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_{n+3}^2} = 1.$$

Therefore we can construct the solutions inductively if there are solutions for n = 6, 7, and 8.

Since  $x_1 = 1$  is a solution for n = 1, (2, 2, 2, 2) is a solution for n = 4, and (2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4) is a solution for n = 7.

It is easy to check that (2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 6) and (2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 12, 12) are solutions for n = 6 and n = 8, respectively. This completes the proof.

#### **Problem 21 [AIME 1988]**

Find all pairs of integers (a, b) such that the polynomial

$$ax^{17} + bx^{16} + 1$$

is divisible by  $x^2 - x - 1$ .

#### Solution 21, Alternative 1

Let p and q be the roots of  $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ . By Vieta's theorem, p + q = 1 and pq = -1. Note that p and q must also be the roots of  $ax^{17} + bx^{16} + 1 = 0$ . Thus

$$ap^{17} + bp^{16} = -1$$
 and  $aq^{17} + bq^{16} = -1$ .

Multiplying the first of these equations by  $q^{16}$ , the second one by  $p^{16}$ , and using the fact that pq = -1, we find

$$ap + b = -q^{16}$$
 and  $aq + b = -p^{16}$ . (1)

Thus

$$a = \frac{p^{16} - q^{16}}{p - q} = (p^{\text{bhanday@uok_edu_in}}_{p + q^2})(p^{2} + q^{2})(p + q).$$
<sup>20</sup>

Since

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p+q &=& 1,\\ p^2+q^2 &=& (p+q)^2-2pq=1+2=3,\\ p^4+q^4 &=& (p^2+q^2)^2-2p^2q^2=9-2=7,\\ p^8+q^8 &=& (p^4+q^4)^2-2p^4q^4=49-2=47, \end{array}$$

it follows that  $a = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 47 = 987$ .

Likewise, eliminating a in (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} -b &= \frac{p^{17} - q^{17}}{p - q} \\ &= p^{16} + p^{15}q + p^{14}q^2 + \dots + q^{16} \\ &= (p^{16} + q^{16}) + pq(p^{14} + q^{14}) + p^2q^2(p^{12} + q^{12}) \\ &+ \dots + p^7q^7(p^2 + q^2) + p^8q^8 \\ &= (p^{16} + q^{16}) - (p^{14} + q^{14}) + \dots - (p^2 + q^2) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \ge 1$ , let  $k_{2n} = p^{2n} + q^{2n}$ . Then  $k_2 = 3$  and  $k_4 = 7$ , and

$$k_{2n+4} = p^{2n+4} + q^{2n+4}$$
  
=  $(p^{2n+2} + q^{2n+2})(p^2 + q^2) - p^2 q^2 (p^{2n} + q^{2n})$   
=  $3k_{2n+2} - k_{2n}$ 

for  $n \ge 3$ . Then  $k_6 = 18$ ,  $k_8 = 47$ ,  $k_{10} = 123$ ,  $k_{12} = 322$ ,  $k_{14} = 843$ ,  $k_{16} = 2207$ .

Hence

$$-b = 2207 - 843 + 322 - 123 + 47 - 18 + 7 - 3 + 1 = 1597$$

or

$$(a,b) = (987, -1597).$$

#### Solution 21, Alternative 2

The other factor is of degree 15 and we write

$$(c_{15}x^{15}-c_{14}x^{14}+\cdots+c_{1}x-c_{0})(x^{2}-x-1)=ax^{17}+bx^{16}+1.$$

Comparing coefficients:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x^0: & c_0=1, \\ x^1: & c_0-c_1=0, c_1=1 \\ & & \text{khanded} \\ & & \text{khanded} \\ & & \text{whered gain}+c_2=0, c_2=2, \\ & & \text{and for } 3 \leq k \leq 15, \quad x^k: & -c_{k-2}-c_{k-1}+c_k=0. \end{array}$$

It follows that for  $k \leq 15$ ,  $c_k = F_{k+1}$  (the Fibonacci number). Thus  $a = c_{15} = F_{16} = 987$  and  $b = -c_{14} - c_{15} = -F_{17} = -1597$  or (a, b) = (987, -1597).

**Comment:** Combining the two methods, we obtain some interesting facts about sequences  $k_{2n}$  and  $F_{2n-1}$ . Since

$$3F_{2n+3} - F_{2n+5} = 2F_{2n+3} - F_{2n+4} = F_{2n+3} - F_{2n+2} = F_{2n+1},$$

it follows that  $F_{2n-1}$  and  $k_{2n}$  satisfy the same recursive relation. It is easy to check that  $k_2 = F_1 + F_3$  and  $k_4 = F_3 + F_5$ .

Therefore  $k_{2n} = F_{2n-1} + F_{2n+1}$  and

$$F_{2n+1} = k_{2n} - k_{2n-2} + k_{2n-4} - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} k_2 + (-1)^n$$

#### **Problem 22** [AIME 1994]

Given a positive integer n, let p(n) be the product of the non-zero digits of n. (If n has only one digit, then p(n) is equal to that digit.) Let

$$S = p(1) + p(2) + \cdots + p(999)$$

What is the largest prime factor of S?

#### Solution 22

Consider each positive integer less than 1000 to be a three-digit number by prefixing 0s to numbers with fewer than three digits. The sum of the products of the digits of all such positive numbers is

$$(0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 0 \cdot 1 + \dots + 9 \cdot 9 \cdot 9) - 0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0$$
  
=  $(0 + 1 + \dots + 9)^3 - 0.$ 

However, p(n) is the product of non-zero digits of n. The sum of these products can be found by replacing 0 by 1 in the above expression, since ignoring 0's is equivalent to thinking of them as 1's in the products. (Note that the final 0 in the above expression becomes a 1 and compensates for the contribution of 000 after it is changed to 111.)

Hence

$$S = 46^3 - 1 = (46 - 1)(46^2 + 46 + 1) = 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 103,$$
  
khanday@uok.edu.in

and the largest prime factor is 103.

2. For positive real numbers a, b, c, which of the following statements necessarily implies a = b = c: (I)  $a(b^3 + c^3) = b(c^3 + a^3) = c(a^3 + b^3)$ , (II)  $a(a^3 + b^3) = b(b^3 + c^3) = c(c^3 + a^3)$ ? Justify your answer.

**Solution:** We show that (I) need not imply that a = b = c where as (II) always implies a = b = c.

Observe that  $a(b^3 + c^3) = b(c^3 + a^3)$  gives  $c^3(a - b) = ab(a^2 - b^2)$ . This gives either a = b or  $ab(a + b) = c^3$ . Similarly, b = c or  $bc(b + c) = a^3$ . If  $a \neq b$  and  $b \neq c$ , we obtain

$$ab(a+b) = c^3, \quad bc(b+c) = a^3.$$

Therefore

$$b(a^2 - c^2) + b^2(a - c) = c^3 - a^3$$

This gives  $(a-c)(a^2+b^2+c^2+ab+bc+ca) = 0$ . Since a, b, c are positive, the only possibility is a = c. We have therefore 4 possibilities: a = b = c;  $a \neq b$ ,  $b \neq c$  and c = a;  $b \neq c$ ,  $c \neq a$  and a = b;  $c \neq a$ ,  $a \neq b$  and b = c.

Suppose a = b and  $b, a \neq c$ . Then  $b(c^3 + a^3) = c(a^3 + b^3)$  gives  $ac^3 + a^4 = 2ca^3$ . This implies that  $a(a-c)(a^2 - ac - c^2) = 0$ . Therefore  $a^2 - ac - c^2 = 0$ . Putting a/c = x, we get the quadratic equation  $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ . Hence  $x = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . Thus we get

$$a = b = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)c$$
, *c* arbitrary positive real number.

Similarly, we get other two cases:

$$b = c = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)a$$
, *a* arbitrary positive real number

$$c = a = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)b$$
, b arbitrary positive real number.

And a = b = c is the fourth possibility.

Consider (II):  $a(a^3 + b^3) = b(b^3 + c^3) = c(c^3 + a^3)$ . Suppose a, b, c are mutually distinct. We may assume  $a = \max\{a, b, c\}$ . Hence a > b and a > c. Using a > b, we get from the first relation that  $a^3 + b^3 < b^3 + c^3$ . Therefore  $a^3 < c^3$  forcing a < c. This contradicts a > c. We conclude that a, b, c cannot be mutually distinct. This means some two must be equal. If a = b, the equality of the first two expressions give  $a^3 + b^3 = b^3 + c^3$  something couple k Similarly, we can show that b = c implies b = a and c = a gives c = b.

Alternate for (II) by a contestant: We can write

$$\frac{a^{3}}{c} + \frac{b^{3}}{c} = \frac{c^{3}}{a} + a^{2},$$
$$\frac{b^{3}}{a} + \frac{c^{3}}{a} = \frac{a^{3}}{b} + b^{2},$$
$$\frac{c^{3}}{b} + \frac{a^{3}}{b} = \frac{b^{3}}{c} + c^{2}.$$

Adding, we get

$$\frac{a^3}{c} + \frac{b^3}{a} + \frac{c^3}{b} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2.$$

Using C-S inequality, we have

$$(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2})^{2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{a^{3}}}{\sqrt{c}} \cdot \sqrt{ac} + \frac{\sqrt{b^{3}}}{\sqrt{a}} \cdot \sqrt{ba} + \frac{\sqrt{c^{3}}}{\sqrt{b}} \cdot \sqrt{cb}\right)^{2}$$
  
$$\leq \left(\frac{a^{3}}{c} + \frac{b^{3}}{a} + \frac{c^{3}}{b}\right) (ac + ba + cb)$$
  
$$= (a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2})(ab + bc + ca).$$

Thus we obtain

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \le ab + bc + ca.$$

However this implies  $(a - b)^2 + (b - c)^2 + (c - a)^2 \le 0$  and hence a = b = c.

3. Let N denote the set of all natural numbers. Define a function T : N → N by T(2k) = k and T(2k+1) = 2k+2. We write T<sup>2</sup>(n) = T(T(n)) and in general T<sup>k</sup>(n) = T<sup>k-1</sup>(T(n)) for any k > 1.
(i) Show that for each n ∈ N, there exists k such that T<sup>k</sup>(n) = 1.

(ii) For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $c_k$  denote the number of elements in the set  $\{n : T^k(n) = 1\}$ . Prove that  $c_{k+2} = c_{k+1} + c_k$ , for  $k \ge 1$ .

#### Solution:

(i) For n = 1, we have T(1) = 2 and  $T^2(1) = T(2) = 1$ . Hence we may assume that n > 1.

Suppose n > 1 is even. Then T(n) = n/2. We observe that  $(n/2) \le n - 1$  for n > 1.

Suppose n > 1 is odd so that  $n \ge 3$ . Then T(n) = n + 1 and  $T^2(n) = (n + 1)/2$ . Again we see that  $(n + 1)/2 \le (n - 1)$  for  $n \ge 3$ .

Thus we see that in at most 2(n-1) steps T sends n to 1. Hence  $k \leq 2(n-1)$ . (Here 2(n-1) is only a bound. In reality, less number of steps will do.)

(ii) We show that  $c_n = f_{n+1}$ , where  $f_n$  is the *n*-th Fibonacci number.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $T^k(n) = 1$ . Here n can be odd or even. If n is even, it can be either of the form 4d + 2 or of the form 4d.

If n is odd, then  $1 = T^k(n) = T^{k-1}(n+1)$ . (Observe that k > 1; otherwise we get n+1 = 1 which is impossible since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .) Here n+1 is even.

If n = 4d + 2, then again  $1 = T^k(4d + 2) = T^{k-1}(2d + 1)$ . Here 2d + 1 = n/2 is odd.

Thus each solution of  $T^{k-1}(m) = 1$  produces exactly one solution of  $T^k(n) = 1$  and n is either odd or of the form 4d + 2.

If n = 4d, we see that  $1 = T^k(4d) = T^{k-1}(2d) = T^{k-2}(d)$ . This shows that each solution of  $T^{k-2}(m) = 1$  produces exactly one solution of  $T^k(n) = 1$  of the form 4d.

Thus the number of solutions of  $T^{k}(n) = 1$  is equal to the number of solutions of  $T^{k-1}(m) = 1$  and the number of solutions of  $T^{k-2}(l) = 1$  for k > 2. This shows that  $c_k = c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}$  for k > 2. We also observe that 2 is the only number which goes to 1 in one step and 4 is the only number which goes to 1 in two steps. Hence  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 2$ . This proves that  $c_n = f_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let n be a natural number. Prove that

$$\left[\frac{n}{1}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{3}\right] + \cdots \left[\frac{n}{n}\right] + \left[\sqrt{n}\right]$$

is even. (Here [x] denotes the largest integer smaller than or equal to x.)

**Solution.** Let f(n) denote the given equation. Then f(1) = 2 which is even. Now suppose that f(n) is even for some  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$f(n+1) = \left[\frac{n+1}{1}\right] + \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{n+1}{3}\right] + \dots \left[\frac{n+1}{n+1}\right] + \left[\sqrt{n+1}\right] \\ = \left[\frac{n}{1}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{3}\right] + \dots \left[\frac{n}{n}\right] + \left[\sqrt{n+1}\right] + \sigma(n+1) ,$$

where  $\sigma(n+1)$  denotes the number of positive divisors of n+1. This follows from  $\left[\frac{n+1}{k}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{k}\right] + 1$  if k divides n+1, and  $\left[\frac{n+1}{k}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{k}\right]$  otherwise. Note that  $\left[\sqrt{n+1}\right] = \left[\sqrt{n}\right]$  unless n+1 is a square, in which case  $\left[\sqrt{n+1}\right] = \left[\sqrt{n}\right] + 1$ . On the other hand  $\sigma(n+1)$  is odd if and only if n+1 is a square. Therefore it follows that f(n+1) = f(n) + 2l for some integer l. This proves that f(n+1) is even.

Thus it follows by induction that f(n) is even for all natural number n.

**Problem 3.** Let a, b, c, d be positive integers such that  $a \ge b \ge c \ge d$ . Prove that the equation  $x^4 - ax^3 - bx^2 - cx - d = 0$  has no integer solution.

**Solution.** Suppose that m is an integer root of  $x^4 - ax^3 - bx^2 - cx - d = 0$ . As  $d \neq 0$ , we have  $m \neq 0$ . Suppose now that m > 0. Then  $m^4 - am^3 = bm^2 + cm + d > 0$  and hence  $m > a \ge d$ . On the other hand  $d = m(m^3 - am^2 - bm - c)$  and hence m divides d, so  $m \le d$ , a contradiction. If m < 0, then writing n = -m > 0 we have  $n^4 + an^3 - bn^2 + cn - d = n^4 + n^2(an - b) + (cn - d) > 0$ , a contradiction. This proves that the given polynomial has no integer roots.

**Problem 4.** Let n be a positive integer. Call a nonempty subset S of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  good if the arithmetic mean of the elements of S is also an integer. Further let  $t_n$  denote the number of good subsets of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Prove that  $t_n$  and n are both odd or both even.

**Solution.** We show that  $T_n - n$  is even. Note that the subsets  $\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{n\}$  are good. Among the other good subsets, let A be the collection of subsets with an integer average which belongs to the subset, and let B be the collection of subsets with an integer average which is not a member of the subset. Then there is a bijection between A and B, because removing the average takes a member of A to a member of B; and including the average in a member of B takes it to its inverse. So  $T_n - n = |A| + |B|$  is even.

Alternate solution. Let  $S = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . For a subset A of S, let  $\overline{A} = \{n + 1 - a | a \in A\}$ . We call a subset A symmetric if  $\overline{A} = A$ . Note that the arithmetic mean of a symmetric subset is (n+1)/2. Therefore, if n is even, then there are no symmetric good subsets, while if n is odd then every symmetric subset is good.

If A is a proper good subset of S, then so is  $\overline{A}$ . Therefore, all the good subsets that are not symmetric can be paired. If n is even then this proves that  $t_n$  is even. If n is odd, we have to show that there are odd number of symmetric subsets. For this, we note that a symmetric subset contains the element (n + 1)/2 if and only if it has odd number of elements. Therefore, for any natural number k, the number of symmetric subsets of size 2k equals the number of symmetric subsets of size 2k + 1. The result now follows since there is exactly one symmetric subset with only one element.

**Problem 3.** Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of all positive integers. Find all real numbers *c* for which there exists a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  satisfying:

(a) for any  $x, a \in \mathbb{N}$ , the quantity  $\frac{f(x+a)-f(x)}{a}$  is an integer if and only if a = 1;

(b) for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have |f(x) - cx| < 2023.

**Solution 1.** We claim that the only possible values of c are  $k + \frac{1}{2}$  for some non-negative integer k. The fact that these values are possible is seen from the function  $f(x) = \lfloor (k + \frac{1}{2}) x \rfloor + 1 = kx + \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor + 1$ . Indeed, if you have any  $x, a \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\frac{f(x+a) - f(x)}{a} = \frac{1}{a} \left( ka + \left\lfloor \frac{x+a}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \right) = k + \frac{1}{a} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{x+a}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \right).$$

This is clearly an integer for a = 1. But for  $a \ge 2$ , we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x+a}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \ge \left\lfloor \frac{x+2}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor = 1.$$

If a = 2k, then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x+a}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor = k < 2k = a,$$

and if a = 2k + 1 for  $k \ge 1$ , then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{x+a}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \le \left\lfloor \frac{x+2k+2}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor = k+1 < 2k+1 = a.$$

So in either case, the quantity  $\lfloor \frac{x+a}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$  is strictly between 0 and *a*, and thus cannot be divisible by *a*. Thus condition (a) holds; condition (b) is obviously true.

Now let us show these are the only possible values, under the weaker assumption that there exists some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  so that |f(x) - cx| < d. It is clear that  $c \ge 0$ : if -d < f(x) - cx < d and c < 0, then for large x the range [cx - d, cx + d] consists only of negative numbers and cannot contain f(x).

Now we claim that  $c \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . Indeed, suppose that  $0 \le c < \frac{1}{2}$ , and that d > 0 is such that  $|f(x) - cx| \le d$ . Pick  $N > \frac{2d}{1-2c}$  so that 2(cN+d) < N. Then the N values  $\{f(1), \ldots, f(N)\}$  must be all be in the range  $\{1, \ldots, cN+d\}$ , and by pigeonhole principle, some three values f(i), f(j), f(k) must be equal. Some two of i, j, k are not consecutive: suppose WLOG i > j + 1. Then  $\frac{f(i) - f(j)}{i - j} = 0$ , which contradicts condition (a) for x = j and a = i - j.

Now for the general case, suppose  $c = k + \lambda$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $-d \leq f(x) - cx \leq d$ . Consider the functions

$$g_1(x) = f(x) - kx + d + 1, g_2(x) = x - f(x) + kx + d + 1.$$

Note that

$$g_1(x) \ge cx - d - kx + d + 1 = \lambda x + 1 \ge 1,$$
  
$$g_2(x) \ge x - (cx + d) + kx + d + 1 = (1 - \lambda)x + 1 \ge 1$$

so that these are also functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . They also satisfy condition (a) for *f*:

$$\frac{g_1(x+a) - g_1(x)}{a} = \frac{f(x+a) - k(x+a) + d - f(x) + kx - d}{a} = \frac{f(x+a) - f(x)}{a} - k$$

is an integer if and only if  $\frac{f(x+a)-f(x)}{a}$  is, which happens if and only if a = 1. A similar argument holds for  $g_2$ .

Now note that  $g_1(x) - \lambda x = f(x) - cx + d + 1$  is bounded, and so is  $g_2(x) - (1 - \lambda)x = cx - f(x) + d + 1$ . So they satisfy the weaker form of condition (b) as well. Thus applying the reasoning in the second paragraph, we see that  $\lambda \ge \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 - \lambda \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . This forces  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ , which finishes our proof.

**Solution 2.** We will show that for any such *c*, we have c > 0 and  $\{c\} = \frac{1}{2}$ . Also 2023 can be replaced by any fixed  $d \ge 1$  in condition (*b*) which we assume now.

Clearly  $c \ge 0$  else for c < 0 and  $x > \frac{d}{|c|}$ , cx - d < f(x) < cx + d < 0 which is a contradiction. Suppose  $\{c\} \ne \frac{1}{2}$ . Put  $r = \lfloor c \rfloor$  and  $\lambda = \min(\{c\}, 1 - \{c\})$  and define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) - rx & \text{if } \{c\} < \frac{1}{2} \\ x + rx - f(x) & \text{if } \{c\} > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

so that  $|f(x) - cx| = |g(x) - \lambda x|$  and  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $0 \le \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ . Take  $N > 2(\lambda N + 2d)$ . Then from  $|g(x) - \lambda x| = |f(x) - cx| < d$ , we get

$$-d \le \lambda n - d < g(n) < \lambda n + d \le \lambda N + d$$

for all  $1 \le n \le N$ . That is N integers  $g(n), 1 \le n \le N$  can take at most  $\lambda N + 2d$  values. Since  $N > 2(\lambda N + 2d)$ , by pigeonhole principle, there are 3 positive integers i < j < k such that g(i) = g(j) = g(k). Then  $k - i \ge 2$  and

$$f(k) - f(i) = \begin{cases} g(k) + rk - (g(i) + ri) = r(k - i) & \text{if } \{c\} < \frac{1}{2} \\ (1 + r)k - g(k) - ((1 + r)i - g(i)) = (1 + r)(k - i) & \text{if } \{c\} > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

so that  $\frac{f(k)-f(i)}{k-i}$  is an integer. This contradicts the condition (*a*). Also for each  $c = k + \frac{1}{2}$ , the function  $f(x) = \lfloor (k + \frac{1}{2})x \rfloor$  satisfy the conditions (*a*) and (*b*).

**Solution 3.** We give a different proof that  $\{c\} = 1/2$ . Let us first prove a claim:

**Claim.** For any  $k \ge 1$  and any x,  $f(x+2^k) - f(x)$  is divisible by  $2^{k-1}$  but not  $2^k$ .

*Proof.* We prove this via induction on k. For k = 1, the claim is trivial. Now assume the statement is true for some k, and note that  $f(x + 2^k) - f(x) = 2^{k-1}y_1$  and  $f(x + 2^k + 2^k) - f(x + 2^k) = 2^{k-1}y_2$  for some odd integers  $y_1, y_2$ . Adding these, we see that

$$f(x+2^{k+1}) - f(x) = 2^{k-1}(y_1+y_2)$$

which is divisible by  $2^k$  because  $y_1 + y_2$  is even. The fact that this is not divisible by  $2^{k+1}$  follows from the condition on f.

Now using this claim, we see that for any  $k \ge 1$ ,  $f(1+2^k) = f(1) + 2^{k-1}(2y_k+1)$  for some integer  $y_k$ , which means

$$f(1+2^k) - c(1+2^k) = f(1) - c + 2^k \left(y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c\right).$$

Thus  $2^k(y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c)$  is bounded. But  $y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c$  has the same fractional part as  $\frac{1}{2} - c$ , so if this quantity is never zero, its absolute value must be at least  $m = \min\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2} - c\right\}, \left\{c - \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)$  and thus we have

$$2^k \left| y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c \right| \ge 2^k m,$$

contradicting boundedness. Thus we must have  $y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c = 0$  for some k. Since  $y_k$  is an integer, so that  $\{c\} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

A more rigorous treatment is given below.

Obtain

$$f(1+2^k) - c(1+2^k) = f(1) - c - 2^k \left(y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c\right)$$

as before. We obtain that  $2^k |y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c| \le M$  for some M > 0 by condition (b). Suppose that  $\{c\} \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Writing  $y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c = m_k + \delta$  with  $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \le \delta < 1$ , we have  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then there exists  $\ell > 1$  such that  $\min(\delta, 1 - \delta) \ge \frac{1}{2^{\ell}}$ . Hence

$$|y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c| = |m_k + \delta| \ge \begin{cases} \delta \ge \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} & \text{if } m_k \ge 0\\ -m_k - \delta \ge 1 - \delta \ge \frac{1}{2^{\ell}} & \text{if } m_k < 0 \end{cases}$$

khanday@uok.edu.in implying  $M \ge 2^k |y_k + \frac{1}{2} - c| \ge 2^{k-\ell}$  which is a contradiction for large k. Thus  $\{c\} = \frac{1}{2}$ . **Problem 2.** Find all pairs of integers (a, b) so that each of the two cubic polynomials

 $x^3 + ax + b$  and  $x^3 + bx + a$ 

has all the roots to be integers.

**Solution.** The only such pair is (0,0), which clearly works. To prove this is the only one, let us prove an auxiliary result first.

**Lemma** If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are reals so that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$  and  $|\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma| \ge 2$ , then

$$|\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha| < |\alpha\beta\gamma|.$$

*Proof.* Some two of these reals have the same sign; WLOG, suppose  $\alpha\beta > 0$ . Then  $\gamma = -(\alpha + \beta)$ , so by substituting this,

$$|\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha| = |\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha\beta|, \ |\alpha\beta\gamma| = |\alpha\beta(\alpha + \beta)|.$$

So we simply need to show  $|\alpha\beta(\alpha+\beta)| > |\alpha^2+\beta^2+\alpha\beta|$ . Since  $|\alpha| \ge 2$  and  $|\beta| \ge 2$ , we have

$$|\alpha\beta(\alpha+\beta)| = |\alpha||\beta(\alpha+\beta)| \ge 2|\beta(\alpha+\beta)|,$$
$$|\alpha\beta(\alpha+\beta)| = |\beta||\alpha(\alpha+\beta)| \ge 2|\alpha(\alpha+\beta)|.$$

Adding these and using triangle inequality,

$$2|\alpha\beta(\alpha+\beta)| \ge 2|\beta(\alpha+\beta)| + 2|\alpha(\alpha+\beta)| \ge 2|\beta(\alpha+\beta) + \alpha(\alpha+\beta)|$$
$$\ge 2(\alpha^2+\beta^2+2\alpha\beta) > 2(\alpha^2+\beta^2+\alpha\beta)$$
$$= 2|\alpha^2+\beta^2+\alpha\beta|.$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta = (\alpha + \beta)^2$  and  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha\beta = \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{3\beta^2}{4}$  are both nonnegative. This proves our claim.

For our main problem, suppose the roots of  $x^3 + ax + b$  are the integers  $r_1, r_2, r_3$  and the roots of  $x^3 + bx + a$  are the integers  $s_1, s_2, s_3$ . By Vieta's relations, we have

But  $x_1 + y_1 + z_1$  is odd, and hence non-zero, so this cannot happen.

Thus we can assume WLOG that  $\nu_2(x) > \nu_2(y)$ . Then the third root is -(x+y). Similarly, the three roots of  $x^3 + bx + a$  can be written as p, q, -(p+q) where  $\nu_2(p) > \nu_2(q)$ . By Vieta's relations,

$$xy - x(x + y) - y(x + y) = -(x^{2} + xy + y^{2}) = a = pq(p + q)$$
  
$$pq - p(p + q) - q(p + q) = -(p^{2} + pq + q^{2}) = b = xy(x + y)$$

Suppose  $x = 2^k x_1$  and  $y = 2^\ell y_1$  for odd  $x_1, y_1$  and  $k > \ell$ ; in particular k > 0. Then

$$xy(x+y) = 2^{k}x_{1} \cdot 2^{\ell}y_{1} \cdot (2^{k}x_{1} + 2^{\ell}y_{1}) = 2^{k+2\ell}x_{1}y_{1}(2^{k-\ell}x_{1} + y_{1}).$$

Here  $x_1y_1(2^{k-\ell}x_1+y_1)$  is clearly odd, so  $\nu_2(xy(x+y)) = k+2\ell$ . Also,

$$x^{2} + xy + y^{2} = 2^{2k}x_{1}^{2} + 2^{k}x_{1} \cdot 2^{\ell}y_{1} + 2^{2\ell}y_{1}^{2} = 2^{2\ell} \left( 2^{2k-2\ell}x_{1}^{2} + 2^{k-\ell}x_{1}y_{1} + y_{1}^{2} \right)$$

Again, all the terms in the second factor are even except  $y_1^2$ , so the entire factor is odd. This means  $\nu_2(x^2 + xy + y^2) = 2\ell$ . Therefore

$$\nu_2(xy(x+y)) > \nu_2(x^2 + xy + y^2).$$

Similarly, one may show

$$\nu_2(pq(p+q)) > \nu_2(p^2 + pq + q^2).$$

But then

$$\nu_2(b) = \nu_2(xy(x+y)) > \nu_2(x^2 + xy + y^2) = \nu_2(pq(p+q)) > \nu_2(p^2 + pq + q^2) = \nu_2(b).$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\nu_2(n) = \nu_2(-n)$  for any integer *n*. But this is a contradiction, proving our claim.

$$r_1 + r_2 + r_3 = 0 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$$
  

$$r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1 = a = -s_1s_2s_3$$
  

$$s_1s_2 + s_2s_3 + s_3s_1 = b = -r_1r_2r_3$$

If all six of these roots had an absolute value of at least 2, by our lemma, we would have

$$|b| = |s_1s_2 + s_2s_3 + s_3s_1| < |s_1s_2s_3| = |r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1| < |r_1r_2r_3| = |b|,$$

which is absurd. Thus at least one of them is in the set  $\{0, 1, -1\}$ ; WLOG, suppose it's  $r_1$ .

- 1. If  $r_1 = 0$ , then  $r_2 = -r_3$ , so b = 0. Then the roots of  $x^3 + bx + a = x^3 + a$  are precisely the cube roots of -a, and these are all real only for a = 0. Thus (a, b) = (0, 0), which is a solution.
- 2. If  $r_1 = \pm 1$ , then  $\pm 1 \pm a + b = 0$ , so *a* and *b* can't both be even. If  $a = -s_1s_2s_3$  is odd, then  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  are all odd, so  $s_1 + s_2 + s_3$  cannot be zero. Similarly, if *b* is odd, we get a contradiction.

The proof is now complete.

**Alternate Solution.** The only such pair is (0,0), which clearly works. Let us prove this is the only one. In what follows, we use  $\nu_2(n)$  to denote the largest integer k so that  $2^k | n$  for any non-zero  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If one of the cubics has 0 as a root, say the first one, then  $0^3 + 0 \cdot a + b = 0$ , so b = 0. Then the roots of  $x^3 + bx + a = x^3 + a$  are precisely the cube roots of -a, and these are all real only for a = 0. Thus (a, b) = (0, 0).

So suppose none of the roots are zero. Take the cubic  $x^3 + ax + b$ , and suppose its roots are x, y, z. We cannot have  $\nu_2(x) = \nu_2(y) = \nu_2(z)$ ; indeed, if we had  $x = 2^k x_1, y = 2^k y_1, z = 2^k z_1$  for odd  $x_1, y_1, z_1$ , then

$$0 = x + y_{\text{khanday@www.edu.edu.in}} + z_1).$$

# THANK YOU