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#### Abstract

The project dissertation comprises four chapters that focuses on constant mean and constant Gaussian curvature surfaces in three dimensional spaces. The first chapter provides an introductory overview of the differential geometry of surfaces in threedimensional spaces.

In the second chapter, we discuss the classification of minimal and constant mean curvature translation surface in Euclidean 3-space $E^{3}$. We also discuss the affine minimal and constant mean curvature translation surface.

In the third chapter, we discuss the translation surfaces with zero and non-zero constant mean curvature and non-zero constant Gaussian curvature in Minkowski 3-spaces. We also discuss the affine translation surface with zero mean curvature in the same space of $E_{1}^{3}$.

In the last chapter, we take into consideration the upper half plane model of hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ and discuss the classification of minimal translation surfaces in this setting.
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## Preliminaries

## Introduction

In this chapter, we give a brief introduction to the differential geometry of surfaces in three-dimensional spaces. The main purpose of this chapter is to provide the basic notions of differential geometry and with the essential formulas that will be needed in the upcoming chapters. Most of the notions, formulas and definitions in this chapter are included in consultation with $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]$.

Let $E^{3}$ be the 3-dimensional Euclidean space with the metric

$$
<,>=d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2} .
$$

We denote a regular surface $S$ in $E^{3}$ by $r(x, y)=(X(x, y), Y(x, y), Z(x, y))$, and is obtained by taking pieces of a plane, deforming them, and arranging them in such a way that the resulting figure has no sharp points, edges, or self-intersections and so that it makes sense to speak of a tangent plane at points of the figure. The idea is to define a set that is, in a certain sense, two-dimensional and that also is smooth enough so that the usual notions of calculus can be extended to it.

Definition 1.0.1. A subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a regular suface if, for each $p \in S$, there exists a neighbourhood $V$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and a map $\quad r: U \rightarrow V \cap S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad$ of an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ onto $V \cap S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that

1. $\boldsymbol{r}$ is differentiable. This means that if we write

$$
\boldsymbol{r}(x, y)=(X(x, y), Y(x, y), Z(x, y)), \quad(x, y) \in U
$$

the functions $X(x, y), Y(x, y), Z(x, y)$ have continuous partial derivatives of all orders in $U$.
2. $\boldsymbol{r}$ is homeomorphism. Since $\boldsymbol{r}$ is continuous by condition 1, this means that $\boldsymbol{r}$ has an inverse $\boldsymbol{r}^{-1}: V \cap S \rightarrow U$, which is continuous.
3. For each $q \in U$, the differential $d r_{q}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is one to one.

Definition 1.0.2. Let $S$ be a regular surface, $p \in S$, consider all the curves defined on $S$ passing through $p$. We define the tangent plane at $p$ denoted by $T_{p} S$ as the vector space of dimension 2 which contains all vectors tangent to the family of curves at point $p$.

Definition 1.0.3. Let $p \in S$ and $w \in T_{p} S$, the quadratic form $I_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by:

$$
I_{p}(w)=\langle w, w\rangle=\|w\|^{2} \geq 0
$$

is called the first fundamental form of the regular surface $S$ at $p$.

If $r_{x}$ and $r_{y}$ are the partial derivatives with respect to (w.r.t) $x$ and $y$ respectively, then the first fundamental form can be expressed in the base $\left\{r_{x}, r_{y}\right\}$ associated with a parametrization $r(x, y)$ at $p$ as follows:

Let $w=\alpha^{\prime}(0)=r_{x} x^{\prime}+r_{y} y^{\prime} \in T_{p} S$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{p}(w) & =\left\langle r_{x} x^{\prime}+r_{y} y^{\prime}, r_{x} x^{\prime}+r_{y} y^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =E\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 F x^{\prime} y^{\prime}+G\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E=\left\langle r_{x}, r_{x}\right\rangle, F=\left\langle r_{x}, r_{y}\right\rangle$ and $G=\left\langle r_{y}, r_{y}\right\rangle$ are the coefficients of the first fundamental form in the base $\left\{r_{x}, r_{y}\right\}$ of $T_{p} S$.

Definition 1.0.4. A regular surface $S$ is orientable if it is possible to cover $S$ with a family of coordinate neighbourhoods so that if a point $p \in S$ is in two neighbourhoods of this family, then the change of coordinates has positive Jocobian at p. The choice of family that satisfies this condition is called an orientation of $S$ and $S$ is called oriented. If it is not possible to find such a family, then $S$ is called non-orientable.

Fix a parametrization $\mathbf{r}: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S$, we calculate the normal vector at each point $q(U)$ as

$$
\mathbf{N}(q)=\frac{r_{x} \times r_{y}}{\left\|r_{x} \times r_{y}\right\|}(q) .
$$

Definition 1.0.5. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a surface with an orientation, we have the Gauss map $\boldsymbol{N}: S \rightarrow S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ defined to be $p \rightarrow \boldsymbol{N}(p)$.

The Gauss map can be defined (globally) if and only if the surface is orientable. The Gauss map can always be defined locally (that is on a small piece of the surface).

The differential of the Gauss map $d \mathbf{N}_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S$ is a self-adjoint linear operator. That is

$$
\left\langle d N_{p}\left(w_{1}\right), w_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle w_{1}, d \mathbf{N}_{p}\left(w_{2}\right)\right\rangle, \quad w_{1}, w_{2} \in T_{p} S .
$$

Therefore, we can associate $d \mathbf{N}_{p}$ with a quadratic form $Q$ in $T_{p} S$ given by

$$
Q(w)=\left\langle d \mathbf{N}_{p}(w), w\right\rangle, \quad w \in T_{p} S .
$$

Definition 1.0.6. Let $p \in S$, the quadratic form $I I_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
I I_{p}=-\left\langle d N_{p}(w), w\right\rangle
$$

is called the second fundamental form of the regular surface $S$ at $p$.

The second fundamental form can be expressed in the base $\left\{r_{x}, r_{y}\right\}$ associated with a parametrization $r(x, y)$. In fact, let $\mathbf{N}$ be the normal vector to $S$ at $p \in S$ and $\alpha(s)=$ $r(x(s), y(s))$ a parameterized curve in $S$ with $\alpha(0)=p$. Therefore, the tangent vector to $\alpha(s)$ at $p$ is $\alpha^{\prime}=r_{x} x^{\prime}+r_{y} y^{\prime}$. If we indicate by $\mathbf{N}$ the restriction of normal vector to the curve $\alpha(s)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{N}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle=0 .
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{N}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)\right\rangle=-\left\langle\mathbf{N}^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\rangle .
$$

Let $w=\alpha^{\prime}(0)=r_{x} x^{\prime}+r_{y} y^{\prime} \in T_{p} S$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I_{p} & =-\left\langle d \mathbf{N}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(0)\right), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle\mathbf{N}^{\prime}(0), \alpha^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle\mathbf{N}(0), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(0)\right\rangle \\
& =-\left\langle\mathbf{N}(0), r_{x x}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+r_{x} x^{\prime \prime}+2 r_{x y} x^{\prime} y^{\prime}+r_{y y}\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}-r_{y} y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\langle\mathbf{N}, r_{x}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{N}, r_{y}\right\rangle=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I I_{p}(w)=L\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+2 M x^{\prime} y^{\prime}+N\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tag{1.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=\left\langle\mathbf{N}, r_{x x}\right\rangle, M=\left\langle\mathbf{N}, r_{x y}\right\rangle$ and $N=\left\langle\mathbf{N}, r_{y y}\right\rangle$ are the coefficients of the second fundamental form in the base $\left\{r_{x}, r_{y}\right\}$ of $T_{p} S$. Also $r_{x x}, r_{x y}$ and $r_{y y}$ are the second order partial derivatives of $r(x, y)$ w.r.t. $x$ and $y$.

Equation (1.0.1) is also written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & =L d x^{2}+2 M d x d y+N d y^{2}, \\
L & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|E G-F^{2}\right|}} \operatorname{det}\left(r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{x x}\right), \\
M & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|E G-F^{2}\right|}} \operatorname{det}\left(r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{x y}\right), \\
N & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left|E G-F^{2}\right|}} \operatorname{det}\left(r_{x}, r_{y}, r_{y y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.0.7. Let $p \in S$ and let $d \boldsymbol{N}_{p}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{P} S$ be the differential of the Gauss map. The determinant of $d \boldsymbol{N}_{p}$ is called Gaussian curvature $K$ of $S$ at $p$ and the half of trace of $d N_{p}$ is called as mean curvature $H$ of $S$ at $p$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{L N-M^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}, \quad H=\frac{L G-2 M F+N E}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)} \tag{1.0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Also for $K=0, H=0$, the surface is called as developable and minimal surface, respectively.

Definition 1.0.8. A surface $S$ in $E^{3}$ is called a translation surface, if it can be parameterized by

$$
r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y)),
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are smooth functions of $x$ and $y$ respectively.
Definition 1.0.9. A generalized notion of translation surface appear in the form of affine translation surface and is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(x, y) & =(X(x, y), Y(x, y), Z(x, y)) \\
& =(x, y, f(x)+g(y+a x))
\end{aligned}
$$

for some non zero constant $a$.
Definition 1.0.10. The Minkowski space is the space $E_{1}^{3}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},\langle\rangle,\right)$, where the metric $\langle$, is

$$
\langle u, v\rangle=u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}-u_{3} v_{3}, \quad u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right),
$$

which is called the Lorentzian metric.
Definition 1.0.11. A vector $v \in E_{1}^{3}$ is said to be
(1) spacelike if $\langle v, v\rangle>0$ or $v=0$,
(2) timelike if $\langle v, v\rangle<0$ and
(3) lightlike if $\langle v, v\rangle=0$ or $v \neq 0$.

Definition 1.0.12. A Hyperbolic 3-space denoted by $\mathbb{H}^{3}$, is defined to be a 3 dimensional complete, simply connected space form with a sectional curvature of -1 .
A Hyperbolic 3 space has various models and in this work we deal with the half-space model of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ defined below.

Definition 1.0.13. A half-space model of the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}$ and it is defined by

$$
\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; z>0\right\} .
$$

The metric of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}$ is given by the following line element

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{d x^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}}{z^{2}} .
$$

Definition 1.0.14. A unit normal vector field $\boldsymbol{n}$ to $S$ with respect to the hyperbolic metric determines a unit normal vector field $\boldsymbol{N}$ to $S$ with respect to the Euclidean metric by the relation $N=\frac{n}{z}$.
The hyperbolic principal curvatures $k_{i}^{\prime} s$ are related to the Euclidean principal curvatures $k_{i}^{e}$ by

$$
k_{i}=z k_{i}^{e}+N_{3},
$$

where $N_{3}$ is the third component of the unit normal vector $N$. If we denote by $H$ and $H_{e}$ the hyperbolic and Euclidean mean curvature on a surface $S$ respectively, we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, y, z)=z H_{e}(x, y, z)+\boldsymbol{N}_{3}(x, y, z) . \tag{1.0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.0.15. Consider the half-space model of $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. A surface $S$ in hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ is a translation surface if it is given by $r: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}$ and is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y)),(x, y) \in U(\text { typeI }),  \tag{1.0.4}\\
& r(x, z)=(x, f(x)+g(z), z),(x, z) \in U(\text { typeII }), \tag{1.0.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are smooth functions on open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.

## Translation surfaces in Euclidean 3-space $E^{3}$

In this chapter, we discuss the classification of minimal and constant mean curvature translation surface in Euclidean 3-space $E^{3}$. We also discuss the affine minimal and constant mean curvature translation surface. This chapter is a survey of the articles in $[4,5,6,9]$.

Theorem 2.0.1. Let $S$ be a translation surface with zero mean curvature in 3-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$. Then $S$ is congruent to the following surface

$$
r(x, y)=\left(x, y, \frac{1}{a} \log \left|\frac{\cos (a x)}{\cos (a y)}\right|\right), \quad 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Proof. Consider the translation surface parameterized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y)) . \tag{2.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{x}=\left(1,0, f^{\prime}(x)\right), \quad r_{y}=\left(0,1, g^{\prime}(y)\right), \\
r_{x x}=\left(0,0, f^{\prime \prime}(x), \quad r_{y y}=\left(0,0, g^{\prime \prime}(y)\right), \quad r_{y x}=r_{x y}=(0,0,0)\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
E=r_{x} \cdot r_{x}=1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}, \quad F=r_{x} \cdot r_{y}=f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(y), \quad G=r_{y} \cdot r_{y}=1+g^{\prime}(y)^{2} .
$$

Also

$$
E G-F^{2}=\left(1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)-f^{\prime}(x)^{2} g^{\prime}(y)^{2}=1+f^{\prime}(x)^{2}+g^{\prime}(y)^{2} .
$$

Now, we have

$$
r_{x} \times r_{y}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
i & j & k \\
1 & 0 & f^{\prime}(x) \\
0 & 1 & g^{\prime}(y)
\end{array}\right|=\left(-f^{\prime}(x),-g^{\prime}(y), 1\right) .
$$

Thus the unit normal $\mathbf{N}$ is obtained as

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{\left(-f^{\prime},-g^{\prime}, 1\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=r_{x x} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}}, \\
& M=r_{x y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0, \\
& N=r_{y y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore on substituting the values of first and second fundamental form coefficients in (1.0.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}{2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)} . \tag{2.0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $H=0$, we have

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)=0,
$$

or

$$
\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}=0 .
$$

Since $f$ and $g$ are independently the functions of $x$ and $y$ alone respectively, so we have two cases:

## Case I:

$$
\frac{1+f^{\prime 2}}{f^{\prime \prime}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1+g^{\prime 2}}{g^{\prime \prime}}=0
$$

which gives

$$
f(x)=c_{1} \pm \imath x \quad \text { and } \quad g(y)=c_{2} \pm \imath y .
$$

Thus $r(x, y)=\left(x, y, c_{1} x+c_{2} y+c_{3}\right)$, which is congruent to a plane.

## Case II:

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}=-a \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}=a
$$

where $a$ is a non-zero constant.
Solving these two, we get

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{a} \log \left|\cos \left(a x+c_{1}\right)\right|+c_{2}
$$

and

$$
g(y)=c_{2}-\frac{1}{a} \log \left|\cos \left(a y+c_{1}\right)\right| .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=f(x)+g(y)=\frac{1}{a}\left[\log \left|\cos \left(a x+c_{1}\right)\right|-\log \left|\cos \left(a y-c_{1}\right)\right|\right]+2 c_{2} . \tag{2.0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $c_{2}=0$, we can write

$$
z=\frac{1}{a} \log |\cos (a x)|-\frac{1}{a} \log |\cos (a y)|,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{1}{a} \log \left|\frac{\cos (a x)}{\cos (a y)}\right| . \tag{2.0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the theorem.

Remark:The surface (2.0.4) is called as Scherk Surface which is the minimal surface of the form (2.0.1). Next, we discuss the classification of affine minimal translation surface.

Theorem 2.0.2. Let $r(x, y)=(x, y, z(x, y))$ be a minimal affine translation surface in Euclidean 3-space. Then either $z(x, y)$ is linear or can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(x, y)=\frac{1}{c} \log \left|\frac{\cos \left(c \sqrt{1+a^{2}} x\right)}{\cos [c(y+a x)]}\right|, \tag{2.0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $c$ are constants and $a c \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y+a x)), a \neq 0$ be an affine translation surface. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{x}=\left(1,0, f^{\prime}(x)+a g^{\prime}(y+a x)\right), r_{y}=\left(0,1, g^{\prime}(y+a x)\right), \\
r_{x x}=\left(0,0, f^{\prime \prime}(x)+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(y+a x)\right), r_{y y}=\left(0,0, g^{\prime \prime}(y+a x)\right), \\
r_{x y}=\left(0,0, a g^{\prime \prime}(y+a x) .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
E=r_{x} \cdot r_{x}=1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad F=r_{x} \cdot r_{y}=\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right) g^{\prime}, \quad G=r_{y} \cdot r_{y}=1+g^{\prime 2}
$$

where $f^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(x)$ and $g^{\prime}=g^{\prime}(y+a x)$.
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
E G-F^{2} & =\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}\right]-\left[\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2} g^{\prime 2}\right] \\
& =\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E G-F^{2}=1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2} \tag{2.0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{r_{x} \times r_{y}}{\left\|r_{x} \times r_{y}\right\|}=\frac{r_{x} \times r_{y}}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}}=\frac{\left(-f^{\prime}-a g^{\prime},-g^{\prime}, 1\right)}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}}}
$$

Therefore

$$
L=r_{x x} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}}},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=r_{x y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{a g^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}}}, \\
& N=r_{y y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now
$L G-2 M F+N E=\left(f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 a g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+a^{2} g^{\prime 2}+2 a f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right)$.

Thus from (1.0.2), $H=0$ takes the following form

$$
\left(f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 a g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+a^{2} g^{\prime 2}+2 a f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

or

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)=0
$$

The above can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}=0 . \tag{2.0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.0.8) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right) \frac{d}{d y}(y+a x)=0
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)=0 . \tag{2.0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again differentiating (2.0.8) w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}\right)+\frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right) \frac{d}{d x}(y+a x)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}\right)+a \frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)=0 \tag{2.0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.0.9) in (2.0.10), we get

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}\right)=0
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}=-\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}=-c,
$$

where $c$ is constant.
If $c=0$ then $f^{\prime \prime}=g^{\prime \prime} \equiv 0$ that is $f=a x+c_{1}$ and $g=b y+c_{2}$
Hence $r(x, y)$ is a plane.
If $c \neq 0$ then

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}=-c,
$$

which gives

$$
f(x)=\frac{\log \left|\cos \left(\sqrt{a^{2}+1}\left(c x+k_{1}\right)\right)\right|}{c}+k_{2},
$$

where $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are constants.
Setting constants feasibly, we get

$$
f(x)=\frac{\log \left|\cos \left(c \sqrt{1+a^{2}} x\right)\right|}{c} .
$$

Now for

$$
\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}=c
$$

which gives

$$
g(y+a x)=\frac{k_{2}-\log \left|\cos \left(c(y+a x)+k_{1}\right)\right|}{c} .
$$

Again setting constants feasibly, we get

$$
g(y+a x)=-\frac{\log |\cos (c(y+a x))|}{c} .
$$

Therefore

$$
f(x)+g(y+a x)=\frac{1}{c} \log \left|\frac{\cos \left(c \sqrt{1+a^{2}} x\right)}{\cos (c(y+a x))}\right| .
$$

That proves the result.

Theorem 2.0.3. Let $S$ be a translation surface with constant Gaussian curvature $K$ in 3-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$. Then $S$ is congruent to a cylinder.

Proof. In $E^{3}$, by a transformation the translation surface S can be written as

$$
z=g(x)-h(y) .
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x, y)=(x, y, g(x)-h(y)) . \tag{2.0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
r_{x}=\left(1,0, g^{\prime}(x)\right), r_{y}=\left(0,1,-h^{\prime}(y)\right)
$$

and

$$
r_{x x}=\left(0,0, g^{\prime \prime}(x)\right), r_{y y}=\left(0,0,-h^{\prime \prime}(y)\right), r_{x y}=(0,0,0) .
$$

Now we calculate the coefficients of first and second fundamental form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=r_{x} \cdot r_{x}=1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}, \quad F=r_{x} \cdot r_{y}=g^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(y), \quad G=r_{y} \cdot r_{y}=1+h^{\prime}(y)^{2} . \tag{2.0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
E G-F^{2}=1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2} . \tag{2.0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
r_{x} \times r_{y}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
i & j & k \\
1 & 0 & g^{\prime}(x) \\
0 & 1 & -h^{\prime}(y)
\end{array}\right|=\left(-g^{\prime}(x), h^{\prime}(y), 1\right) .
$$

So, the unit normal vector is given as

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{r_{x} \times r_{y}}{\left\|r_{x} \times r_{y}\right\|}=\frac{\left(-g^{\prime}(x), h^{\prime}(y), 1\right)}{\sqrt{1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}}} .
$$

Therefore,
$L=r_{x x} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\sqrt{1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}}}, \quad M=r_{x y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0, \quad N=r_{y y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{-h^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\sqrt{1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}}}$.

Now

$$
L N-M^{2}=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}(x) h^{\prime \prime}(y)}{1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}} .
$$

Substituting (2.0.12) and (2.0.14) in (1.0.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}(x) h^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{2.0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $K=c$ (constant) and $g^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$, then from (2.0.15), we have

$$
\frac{h^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{c}{-g^{\prime \prime}(x)} .
$$

Differentiating w.r.t. $y$ on both sides, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{2} h^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)-4 h^{\prime}(y) h^{\prime \prime}(y)^{2}=0 . \tag{2.0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly let $h^{\prime \prime}(y) \neq 0$, then from (2.0.15), we have

$$
\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{c}{-h^{\prime \prime}(y)} .
$$

Differentiating w.r.t. $x$ on both sides, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)-4 g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2}=0 . \tag{2.0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (2.0.16) and (2.0.17), we get

$$
\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)}{h^{\prime}(y) h^{\prime \prime}(y)^{2}}=\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2}}
$$

which is not possible as $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ are independent.
Hence, $g^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ or $h^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$.
Let $g^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$, we get

$$
g(x)=a x+b, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Therefore from (2.0.11), we have

$$
r(x, y)=(x, y, a x+b-h(y))=(0, y, b-h(y))+x(1,0, a),
$$

which is a cylinder.

Theorem 2.0.4. Let $S$ be a translation surface with constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$ in 3-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{3}$. Then $S$ is congruent to the following surface

$$
r(x, y)=\left(x, y,-\frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}{2 H} \times \sqrt{1-4 H^{2} x^{2}}-a y\right), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be the surface with constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$.
Substituting the values of fundamental coefficients from equation (2.0.12) and (2.0.14) in (1.0.2), we have

$$
H=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}(y)\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}}\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)},
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}-h^{\prime \prime}(y)\right)\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)}{2\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} . \tag{2.0.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is constant, therefore $H^{\prime}=0$.
Therefore, (2.0.18) can be written as

$$
2 H=\left[g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}(y)\left(1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)\right]\left[1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Differentiating w.r.t. $x$ and taking $g=g(x)$ and $h=h(y)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] } \\
& {\left[-3\left(1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right] } \\
= & {\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}-3 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] } \\
& {\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{5}{2}} } \\
= & {\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} } \\
& -\left[\frac{6 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-1}\right.}{2\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.0.18), we get

$$
=\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}-6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-1}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.0.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.0.19) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left[2 h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
& \times 2 h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+h^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.0.19), we get

$$
=\left[2 h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}-6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-1},
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[2 h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}=0 . \tag{2.0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $g^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$ and $h^{\prime \prime}(y) \neq 0$.
Dividing (2.0.20) both sides by $g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right]\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=3 H . \tag{2.0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.0.21) w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right] \frac{1}{2}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} } \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \\
= & {\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{\left[1+g^{\prime 2}+h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left[1+g^{2}+h^{2}\right]} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.0.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)}\right]^{\prime}\left[1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}+3 H g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)=0 \tag{2.0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $g^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$ and $h^{\prime \prime}(y) \neq 0$,
implies that $H=0$, which is contradiction as $H \neq 0$.

Hence $g^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ or $h^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$.
Assume $h^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$. Let $h(y)=a y$, where $a$ is constant.
Then from (2.0.18), we have

$$
H=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1+a^{2}\right)}{2\left[1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+a^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}},
$$

or

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1+a^{2}\right)=2 H\left[1+g^{\prime}(x)^{2}+a^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} .
$$

Solving this equation, we get

$$
g(x)=-\frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2}\left(x+c_{1}\right)^{2}}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Therefore, the surface is

$$
z=-\frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2}\left(x+c_{1}\right)^{2}}+c_{2}-a y .
$$

This proves the theorem.
Theorem 2.0.5. Let $r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y+a x))$ be an affine transation surface with non-zero constant mean curvature $H$, then $r(x, y)$ is of the form
$r(x, y)=\left(x, y, \frac{ \pm \sqrt{1+b^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2} x^{2}}-a b x+g(y+a x)+c_{2}\right)$,
where $a, b, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants.

Proof. Let $S$ be the affine translation surface with non-zero constant mean curvature in $E^{3}$.

Then on substituting the values from (2.0.6) and (2.0.7) in (1.0.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)}{2\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}} . \tag{2.0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $f^{\prime \prime 2}+g^{\prime \prime 2} \neq 0$. If $f^{\prime \prime}=0$ that is $f^{\prime}=b$ is constant, (2.0.23) becomes

$$
g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+a^{2}+b^{2}\right)=2 H\left[1+\left(b+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}},
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{2 H}{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[1+b^{2}+2 a b g^{\prime}+\left(1+a^{2}\right) g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 H\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[g^{\prime 2}+\frac{2 a b}{1+a^{2}} g^{\prime}+\frac{1+b^{2}}{1+a^{2}}-\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 H\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{1+a^{2}}\left(1+b^{2}-\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{1+a^{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 H\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{1+a^{2}}\left(\frac{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}{1+a^{2}}\right)\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 H\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}\left[\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}{\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{2}}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2.0.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting

$$
A^{2}=\frac{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}{\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\frac{2 H\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}
$$

that is

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=B\left[\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+A^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

or

$$
\frac{d g^{\prime}}{d(y+a x)}=B\left[\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+A^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g^{\prime}}{\left[\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+A^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}=B d(y+a x) \tag{2.0.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For solving (2.0.25), let $x=g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}$, then $d x=d g^{\prime}$.
Hence on integrating, we get

$$
\int \frac{d x}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=B(y+a x)
$$

We have to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{x}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) & =\frac{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 1-\frac{x}{2}\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 2 x}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)-x^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{A^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\frac{A^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\frac{d}{d x}\left[\frac{x}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right) \frac{1}{2}}\right]
$$

or

$$
\int \frac{d x}{\left(x^{2}+A^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\frac{x}{A^{2} \sqrt{x^{2}+A^{2}}}
$$

or

$$
\frac{g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}}{A^{2} \sqrt{\left(g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}\right)^{2}+A^{2}}}=B(y+a x)+c_{1} .
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}+\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}= \pm \frac{A^{3} B(y+a x)}{\sqrt{1-A^{4} B^{2}(y+a x)^{2}}} . \tag{2.0.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $c_{1}$ is an integral constant, for simplicity we choose $c_{1}=0$.
Therefore solving (2.0.26), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(y+a x) & = \pm \frac{1}{A B} \sqrt{1-A^{4} B^{2}(y+a x)^{2}}-\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}(y+a x)+c_{2} \\
& = \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}}{2 H \sqrt{1+a^{2}}} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 H^{2}}{1+a^{2}}(y+a x)^{2}}-\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}(y+a x)+c_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
g(y+a x)= \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}+b^{2}}}{2 H\left(1+a^{2}\right)} \sqrt{1+a^{2}-4 H^{2}(y+a x)^{2}}-\frac{a b}{1+a^{2}}(y+a x)+c_{2}
$$

where $c_{2}$ is an integral contant.
Now if $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, then from (2.0.23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)=2 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2.0.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.0.27) w.r.t. $y$, we have

$$
2 f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[2\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right) a g^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right]
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}=6 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(a f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{2.0.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.0.27) w.r.t. $x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+2 a f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime \prime \prime}+a^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& =3 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[2\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)\left(f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\right)+2 a g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& =6 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) & +2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}+2 a f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime \prime \prime}+a^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}+a f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& =6 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a^{3} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}+2 a f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
& \quad=6 H\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[a\left(a f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right)+f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right] \tag{2.0.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying (2.0.28) both sides by $a$ and comparing with (2.0.29), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}=6 H D\left(f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad D=\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{2.0.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.0.24), we get

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=\left[\frac{2 H D^{2}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}\right] .
$$

Equation (2.0.30) becomes

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)+2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{2 H D^{3}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}}\right]=6 H D\left(f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)+2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left[2 H D^{3}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] \\
&=6 H D\left(f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& =6 H D\left(f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)-4 H f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} D \cdot D^{2} \\
& =6 H D\left(f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)-4 H D f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right] \\
& =2 H D\left[3 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} a^{2}+3 f^{\prime 3} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a^{3} g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a g^{\prime} f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& \quad\left[-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+a^{2} g^{\prime 2}+2 a f^{\prime} g^{\prime}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] \\
& =2 H D\left[3 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} a^{2}+3 f^{\prime 3} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a^{3} g^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a g^{\prime} f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& \quad\left[-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}-2 f^{\prime 3} f^{\prime \prime}-2 a^{2} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}-4 a f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}\right] \\
& =2 H D\left[f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a^{2} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime 3} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(3 a f^{\prime \prime}+3 a^{3} f^{\prime \prime}-a f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime}\right) g^{\prime}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+a^{2}\right) g^{\prime 2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Squaring both sides and put the value of $D$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+a^{2}+f^{\prime 2}\right)-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right]^{2}=4 H^{2}\left[1+\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right]} \\
& \quad\left[f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+3 a^{2} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime 3} f^{\prime \prime}+\left(3 a f^{\prime \prime}+3 a^{3} f^{\prime \prime}-a f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime}\right) g^{\prime}-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+a^{2}\right) g^{\prime 2}\right]^{2} \tag{2.0.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient of highest order 6 of $g^{\prime}$ in above equation (2.0.31) is $16 H^{2}\left(1+a^{2}\right)^{3} f^{\prime 2} f^{\prime \prime 2}$. Therefore $f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ means that $g^{\prime}$ is a constant.

Put $g^{\prime}=b,(2.0 .23)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+b^{2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}=2 H\left[1+b^{2}+\left(a b+f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2.0.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On solving equation (2.0.32), we get

$$
f(x)= \pm \frac{\sqrt{1+b^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2} x^{2}}-a b x+c_{3}
$$

which proves the theorem.

## Translation surfaces in Minkowski 3-space $E_{1}^{3}$

In this chapter, we discuss the translation surfaces with zero and non-zero constant mean curvature and non-zero constant Gaussian curvature in $E_{1}^{3}$ and affine translation surface with zero mean curvature. This chapter is a survey of the articles in $[4,8]$.

Theorem 3.0.1. Let $S$ be a translation surface with constant Gaussian curvature $K$ in 3-dimensional Minkowski space $E_{1}^{3}$. Then $S$ is congruent to a cylinder, so $K=0$.

Proof. In Minkowski space $E_{1}^{3}$, by a transformation in $E_{1}^{3}$ the translation surface $S$ can be written as

$$
z=g(x)-h(y),
$$

or

$$
x=g(y)-h(z) .
$$

Accordingly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x, y)=(x, y, g(x)-h(y)), \tag{3.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(y, z)=(g(y)-h(z), y, z) . \tag{3.0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for (3.0.1), we have

$$
E=r_{x} \cdot r_{x}=1-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}, \quad F=r_{x} \cdot r_{y}=g^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(y), \quad G=r_{y} \cdot r_{y}=1-h^{\prime}(y)^{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E G-F^{2}=1-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}-h^{\prime}(y)^{2} . \tag{3.0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{\left(-g^{\prime}(x), h^{\prime}(y), 1\right)}{\sqrt{1-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}-h^{\prime}(y)^{2}}}
$$

Substituting the above found $E, F, G$ and $L, M, N$ in (1.0.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}(x) h^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left(1-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}-h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for surface (3.0.2), we have
$r_{y}=\left(g^{\prime}(y), 1,0\right), \quad r_{z}=\left(-h^{\prime}(z), 0,1\right), \quad r_{y y}=\left(g^{\prime \prime}(y), 0,0\right), \quad r_{z z}=\left(-h^{\prime \prime}(z), 0,0\right), \quad r_{y z}=(0,0,0)$.
Therefore

$$
E=r_{y} \cdot r_{y}=g^{\prime}(y)^{2}+1, F=r_{y} \cdot r_{z}=-h^{\prime}(z) g^{\prime}(y), G=r_{z} \cdot r_{z}=h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-1 .
$$

So

$$
E G-F^{2}=\left(1+g^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)\left(h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-1\right)-h^{\prime}(z)^{2} g^{\prime}(y)^{2}=h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-g^{\prime}(y)^{2}-1
$$

Now

$$
r_{y} \times r_{z}=\left(1,-g^{\prime}(y), h^{\prime}(z)\right) .
$$

So

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{\left(1,-g^{\prime}(y), h^{\prime}(z)\right)}{\sqrt{h^{\prime}(y)^{2}-g^{\prime}(z)^{2}-1}}
$$

Therefore
$L=r_{y y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\left(h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-g^{\prime}(y)^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad M=r_{y z} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0, \quad N=r_{z z} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{-h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-g^{\prime}(y)^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

On using these values of $L, M, N$ in (1.0.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}(y) h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-g^{\prime}(y)^{2}-1\right)^{2}} . \tag{3.0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $K$ is constant, then from (3.0.4) and (3.0.5), we have $g^{\prime \prime}=0$ or $h^{\prime \prime}=0$, which is again not possible like as in theorem (2.0.3). So we again get a contradiction. Hence the surface is a cylinder.

Theorem 3.0.2. Let $S$ be a translation surface with constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$ in 3-dimensional Minkowski space $E_{1}^{3}$. Then
(i) if $S$ is spacelike, it is congruent to the following surfaces or a part in $E_{1}^{3}$ :
(a)

$$
z=\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1+4 H^{2} x^{2}}-a y, \quad|a|<1
$$

or
(b)

$$
x=a y-\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}+1}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2} z^{2}-1}
$$

or
(c)

$$
x=\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-1}}{2 H} \sqrt{1+4 H^{2} y^{2}}-a z, \quad|a|>1 ;
$$

(ii) if $S$ is timelike, it is congruent to the following surfaces or a part in $E_{1}^{3}$ :
(d)

$$
z=-\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2} x^{2}-1}-a y, \quad|a|<1
$$

or
(e)

$$
z=\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-1}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2} x^{2}}-a y, \quad|a|>1
$$

or
(f)

$$
x=a y+\frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1+4 H^{2} z^{2}},
$$

or
(g)

$$
x=-\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-1}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2} y^{2}-1}-a z, \quad|a|>1
$$

or
(h)

$$
x=\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2} y^{2}}-a z, \quad|a|<1 .
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be a surface with constant mean curvature $H \neq 0$ in Minkowski space $E_{1}^{3}$. Let the translation surface in $E_{1}^{3}$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x, y)=(x, y, g(x)-h(y)), \tag{3.0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(y, z)=(g(y)-h(z), y, z) . \tag{3.0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For surface (3.0.6), we have calculated the the value of $E, F, G, L, M, N$ and $E G-F^{2}$ in (2.0.12), (2.0.14) and (2.0.13).

On substituting these values in (1.0.2), we get

$$
H=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)+h^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

where $g=g(x)$ and $h=h(y)$;
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)}{2\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}} . \tag{3.0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume in (3.0.8), $g^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$ and $h^{\prime \prime}(y) \neq 0$, we have

$$
2 H=\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Differentiating w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] \\
{\left[-\frac{3}{2}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\left(-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]} \\
=\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
+3 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right)\right]^{-\frac{5}{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using (3.0.8), we get

$$
\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}+6 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} H\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-1}=0,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=-6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.0.9) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left[-2 g^{\prime \prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{2} & {\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} } \\
& {\left[-2 h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(1-h^{\prime 2}\right)+2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right] }
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\left[-g^{\prime \prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}+g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=3 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}
$$

Dividing both sides by $g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 H=\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.0.10) w.r.t $x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right] \frac{1}{2}\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} } \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \\
= & {\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}-\frac{\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left[1-g^{\prime 2}-h^{\prime 2}\right]} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.0.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{-g^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)}\right]^{\prime}\left[1-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}-h^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}+3 H g^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)=0 . \tag{3.0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.0.11) yields $H=0$, which is a contradiction to the assumption that $H \neq 0$. Hence either $g^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ or $h^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$.
So, for the surface (3.0.6), by a transformation in $E_{1}^{3}$ we can assume $h^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$ so $h(y)=$ ay. Hence from (3.0.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1-a^{2}\right)=2 H\left(1-a^{2}-g^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{3.0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(1-a^{2}\right)=2 H\left(g^{\prime}(x)^{2}-1-a^{2}\right) \tag{3.0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.0.12) and (3.0.13), we obtain

$$
g(x)=\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1+4 H^{2}\left(x+c_{1}\right)^{2}}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|<1,
$$

the surface is spacelike and congruent to the surface $(a)$ given by the theorem.

$$
g(x)=-\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2}\left(x+c_{1}\right)^{2}-1}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|<1
$$

the surface is timelike and is congruent to the surface $(d)$ given by the theorem; and

$$
g(x)=\frac{a^{2}-1}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2}\left(x+c_{1}\right)^{2}}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|>1,
$$

the surface is timelike and congruent to the surface $(e)$ given by the theorem.
Now for surface (3.0.7), let $g=g(y)$ and $h=h(z)$.
The values of $E, F, G, L, M, N$ and $E G-F^{2}$ have been calculated in (2.0.12),(2.0.14) and (2.0.13).

Hence

$$
H=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-0+\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(-h^{\prime \prime}\right)}{2\left(h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right)\left(h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}},
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(g^{\prime 2}+1\right)}{2\left|h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right|^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \tag{3.0.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
2 H=\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(g^{\prime 2}+1\right)\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} .
$$

Differentiating w.r.t. $y$ and assume $g^{\prime \prime}(y) \neq 0$ and $h^{\prime \prime}(z) \neq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\left[g^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(g^{\prime 2}+1\right)\right] } \\
& {\left[-\frac{3}{2}\left(h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\left(-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] } \\
= & {\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}+6 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-h^{\prime \prime}\left(g^{\prime 2}+1\right)}{2\left(h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right] } \\
& {\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-1} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.0.14), we get

$$
0=\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}+6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-1}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=-6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.0.15) w.r.t $z$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[2 g^{\prime \prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}}\left[2 h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right] } \\
& {\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime 2}-1\right)-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.0.15), we get

$$
0=\left[2 g^{\prime \prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}+6 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-1}
$$

or

$$
\left[g^{\prime \prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=-3 H g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}
$$

Dividing both sides by $g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 H=\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right]\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.0.16) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & {\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}+\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right] \frac{1}{2}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right] } \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
= & {\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right] } \\
= & {\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}\right]^{\prime}\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left[h^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}-1\right]} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}}{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{h^{\prime \prime \prime}}{h^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}}\right] . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.0.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{g^{\prime \prime \prime}(y)}{g^{\prime}(y) g^{\prime \prime}(y)}\right]\left[h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-g^{\prime}(y)^{2}-1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}+3 H g^{\prime}(y) g^{\prime \prime}(y)=0 \tag{3.0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.0.17) yields $H=0$, which is a contradiction to the assumption that $H \neq 0$. So $g^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$ or $h^{\prime \prime}(z)=0$ and hence for surface (3.0.7), we let $g^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$. Therefore, by a transformation in $E_{1}^{3}$, we assume $g(y)=a y$. Then from (3.0.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h^{\prime \prime}(z)\left(1+a^{2}\right)=2 H\left(h^{\prime}(z)^{2}-a^{2}-1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{3.0.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h^{\prime \prime}(z)\left(1+a^{2}\right)=2 H\left(a^{2}+1-h^{\prime}(z)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} . \tag{3.0.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.0.18) and (3.0.19), we obtain

$$
h(z)=\frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2}\left(z+c_{1}\right)^{2}-1}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

the surface is spacelike and congruent to the surface $(b)$ of the theorem;

$$
h(z)=-\frac{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2}\left(z+c_{1}\right)^{2}+1}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

the surface is timelike and congruent to the surface $(f)$ given by the theorem.
Now assume for surface (3.0.7), $h^{\prime \prime}(z)=0$. So let $h(z)=a z$.
Then by (3.0.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(y)\left(a^{2}-1\right)=2 H\left(a^{2}-1-g^{\prime}(y)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{3.0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(y)\left(a^{2}-1\right)=2 H\left(g^{\prime}(y)^{2}+1-a^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} . \tag{3.0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.0.20) and (3.0.21), we obtain

$$
g(y)=\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-1}}{2 H} \sqrt{1+4 H^{2}\left(y+c_{1}\right)^{2}}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|>1
$$

the surface is spacelike and congruent to the surface $(c)$ given by the theorem;

$$
g(y)=-\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-1}}{2 H} \sqrt{4 H^{2}\left(y+c_{1}\right)^{2}-1}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|>1,
$$

the surface is timelike and congruent to the surface $(g)$ given by the theorem;

$$
g(y)=\frac{\sqrt{1-a^{2}}}{2 H} \sqrt{1-4 H^{2}\left(y+c_{1}\right)^{2}}+c_{2}, \quad c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad|a|<1,
$$

the surface is timelike and congruent to the surface ( $h$ ) given by the theorem.
Theorem 3.0.3. Let $r(x, y)=(x, y, z(x, y))$ be a minimal affine translation surface in Mikowski space $E_{1}^{3}$. Then either $z(x, y)$ is linear or can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(x, y)=\frac{1}{c} \log \left|\frac{\cosh \left[c \sqrt{1+a^{2}} x\right]}{\cosh [c(y+a x)]}\right| \tag{3.0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y+a x)), a \neq 0$ be the minimal affine translation surface in $E_{1}^{3}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{x}=\left(1,0, f^{\prime}(x)+a g^{\prime}(y+a x)\right), r_{y}=\left(0,1, g^{\prime}(y+a x)\right), \\
r_{x x}=\left(0,0, f^{\prime \prime}(x)+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(y+a x)\right), r_{y y}=\left(0,0, g^{\prime \prime}(y+a x)\right), \\
r_{x y}=\left(0,0, a g^{\prime \prime}(y+a x) .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Now

$$
E=1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}, F=-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right) g^{\prime}, G=1-g^{\prime 2}
$$

where $f=f(x)$ and $g=g(y+a x)$.
Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
E G-F^{2}=\left[1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left[1-g^{\prime 2}\right]+\left[\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2} g^{\prime 2}\right] \\
=\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}\right) \\
=1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-g^{\prime 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now

$$
r_{x} \times r_{y}=\left(-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right),-g^{\prime}, 1\right) .
$$

So

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{\left(-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right),-g^{\prime}, 1\right)}{\sqrt{1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-g^{\prime 2}}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=r_{x x} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{-\left(f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-g^{\prime 2}}}, \\
& M=r_{x y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{-a g^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-g^{\prime 2}}}, \\
& N=r_{y y} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{-g^{\prime \prime}}{\sqrt{1-\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-g^{\prime 2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the surface is minimal, so $H=0$.
On substituting the values of fundamental coefficients in (1.0.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -\left(f^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 a g^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}+a g^{\prime}\right)-g^{\prime \prime}\left(1-f^{\prime 2}-a^{2} g^{\prime 2}-2 a f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) \\
= & -f^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)-a^{2} g^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}-2 a f^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-2 a^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}-g^{\prime \prime} \\
& +f^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}+a^{2} g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}+2 a f^{\prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \\
= & -f^{\prime \prime}\left(1-g^{\prime 2}\right)-g^{\prime \prime}\left(a^{2}+1-f^{\prime 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}-f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}=0 . \tag{3.0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (3.0.23) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}\right) \frac{d}{d y}(y+a x)=0,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}\right)=0 . \tag{3.0.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now differentiating (3.0.23) w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}-f^{\prime 2}}\right)+\frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}\right) \frac{d}{d x}(y+a x)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}-f^{\prime 2}}\right)+a \frac{d}{d(y+a x)}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}\right)=0 \tag{3.0.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.0.24) in (3.0.25), we get

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}-f^{\prime 2}}\right)=0
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}-f^{\prime 2}}=-\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}=-c,
$$

where $c$ is constant.
If $c=0$, then $f^{\prime \prime}=g^{\prime \prime} \equiv 0$ i.e. $r(x, y)$ is a plane.

Now if $c \neq 0$, then we have the following system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+a^{2}-f^{\prime 2}}=-c \tag{3.0.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1-g^{\prime 2}}=c \tag{3.0.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On solving (3.0.26) (3.0.27) respectively, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{c} \log \left|2 \cosh \left[c \sqrt{1+a^{2}} x\right]\right|  \tag{3.0.28}\\
g(y+a x)=-\frac{1}{c} \log |2 \cosh [c(y+a x)]| . \tag{3.0.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Adding (3.0.28) and (3.0.29), we have

$$
f(x)+g(y+a x)=\frac{1}{c} \log \left|\frac{\cosh \left[c \sqrt{1+a^{2}} x\right]}{\cosh [c(y+a x)]}\right| .
$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

## Translation surfaces in Hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$

## Introduction

Till now we have discussed the translation surfaces with zero and non-zero constant mean curvature in Euclidean 3 -space $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ and in Minkowski's 3 -space $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{3}$. Now in this chapter we consider minimal translation surfaces in three-dimensional hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{3}$. This chapter is a survey of the article in [7].

Theorem 4.0.1. There are no minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ that are translation surfaces of type I as defined in Eq. 1.0.4.

Proof. To prove this theorem, we assume that $S$ is a translation surface of type I given by the parametrization (1.0.4). From (2.0.2), we know that

$$
H_{e}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

Let us now calculate $\mathbf{N}_{3}$,
let the surface be

$$
r(x, y)=(x, y, f(x)+g(y)),
$$

we have

$$
r_{x}=\left(1,0, f^{\prime}\right), r_{x x}=\left(0,0, f^{\prime \prime}\right), r_{y}=\left(0,1, g^{\prime}\right), r_{y y}=\left(0,0, g^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad r_{x y}=(0,0,0)
$$

Therefore

$$
r_{x} \times r_{y}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
i & j & k \\
1 & 0 & f^{\prime} \\
0 & 1 & g^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=i\left(-f^{\prime}\right)-j\left(g^{\prime}\right)+k(1),
$$

that is

$$
r_{x} \times r_{y}=\left(-f^{\prime},-g^{\prime}, 1\right) .
$$

Implies

$$
\left\|r_{x} \times r_{y}\right\|=\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}} .
$$

Now

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{r_{x} \times r_{y}}{\left\|r_{x} \times r_{y}\right\|}=\frac{\left(-f^{\prime},-g^{\prime}, 1\right)}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbf{N}_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}}
$$

Now from equation (1.0.3), we have

$$
H=(f+g)\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}} .
$$

If the surface is minimal that is $H=0$ on $S$, we have

$$
0=(f+g)\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]+\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}},
$$

that is

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}}\left[(f+g) \frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}+2\right]=0,
$$

or

$$
(f+g)\left[\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}\right]+2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)=0 .
$$

Dividing on both sides by $\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)$, we get

$$
(f+g)\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right]+\frac{2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}=0
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f+g)\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right]=\frac{-2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)} \tag{4.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equation (4.0.1) w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f+ & g)\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right] \\
& =-2\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) 2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}-\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) 2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =-2\left[\frac{2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left[\frac{1+f^{\prime 2}-1-f^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f+g)\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)=\frac{4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{g^{\prime 2}}{1+g^{\prime 2}} \tag{4.0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (4.0.2) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} & =\frac{4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) 2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{8 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
f^{\prime}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{8 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Dividing on both sides by $f^{\prime} g^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{8 f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now differentiating equation (4.0.3) w.r.t. $x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} & =\frac{8 g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) 2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{4}}\right] \\
& =\frac{8 g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{4}}\right] \\
& =\frac{8 g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{4}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{8 g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}\right] \tag{4.0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equation (4.0.4) w.r.t. $y$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =8\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} g^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) 2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{4}}\right]\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}\right] \\
& =8\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{4}}\right]\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}\right] \\
& =8\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}\right]\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}\right] \\
& =\left[\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2}\right]\left[\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime 2}=0 \tag{4.0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}=0 . \tag{4.0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that

$$
\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime 2}=0
$$

On integrating, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $a$.
Now substituting equation (4.0.7) in equation (4.0.3), we get

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(\frac{a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{8 a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

that implies

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{8 a g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{a}{f^{\prime}}\left(2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{8 a g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+2 a f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{8 a g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Let us discuss several cases:

Case I: Let $a=0$, then from equation (4.0.7), we have

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0
$$

this implies

$$
f(x)=m x+n,
$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$;
and from equation (4.0.5), we get

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=b\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

for some constant $b$.
Therefore, from equation (4.0.1)

$$
(f+g)\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right]=-2 \frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
(m x+n+g)\left[0+\frac{b\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}\right]=\frac{-2\left(1+m^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+m^{2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m x+n+g) b=\frac{-2\left(1+m^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+m^{2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)} \tag{4.0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcase 1: If $b \neq 0$, then $m=0$ and from equation (4.0.9), we have

$$
(n+g) b=\frac{-2\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

that is

$$
(n+g) b=-2 .
$$

This implies that $g$ is a contant function and so $g^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $b=0$, a contradiction.
Subcase 2: If $b=0$, then $g(y)=p y+q ; p, q \in \mathbb{R}$.
Now equation (4.0.1) can be written as

$$
(m x+n+p y+q)\left[\frac{0 \cdot\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}\right]=\frac{-2\left(1+m^{2}+p^{2}\right)}{\left(1+m^{2}\right)\left(1+p^{2}\right)},
$$

or

$$
0=\frac{-2\left(1+m^{2}+p^{2}\right)}{\left(1+m^{2}\right)\left(1+p^{2}\right)},
$$

which is again a contradiction.
Case II: Now suppose $a \neq 0$, from equation (4.0.8) and since $x$ and $y$ are independent variables, there exists a constant $b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 a f^{\prime \prime}=-b . \tag{4.0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.0.8) and (4.0.10), we have

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{8 a g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}=-2 a f^{\prime \prime}=b
$$

that is

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{8 a g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}=b
$$

In particular from equation (4.0.10), we get

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-b}{2 a} .
$$

On integrating, we get

$$
f(x)=\frac{-b}{4 a} x^{2}+m x+n, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

From this expression of the function $f$ together with the differential equation $f^{\prime \prime}=a(1+$ $\left.f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}$, we obtain a 4-degree polynomial on $x$ whose coefficients on $x$ must vanish. This yields $b=m=0$.

Equation (4.0.3) implies that

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{8 f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

that implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{f^{\prime}}\left(\frac{a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}} \\
& =\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{a}{f^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{b^{2} x^{2}}{4 a^{2}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\frac{1}{g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

or

$$
\left(\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

Then $g^{\prime \prime}=p\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)$ for some constant $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
From equation (4.0.1), we have

$$
(f+g)\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)=-2 \frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\left(\frac{-b}{4 a} x^{2}+m x+n+g(y)\right)\left(\frac{-b}{2 a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}+p\right)=-2 \frac{\left(1+\frac{b^{2}}{4 a^{2}} x^{2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{b^{2}}{4 a^{2}} x^{2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

Here $b=m=0$, we get

$$
(n+g(y)) p=-2,
$$

which concludes that $g$ is a constant function and $p \neq 0$, a contradiction with the fact that

$$
g^{\prime \prime}=p\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)
$$

Theorem 4.0.2. The only minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{3}$ that are surfaces of type II as defined in Eq. 1.0.5 are totally geodesic planes.

Proof. Let $S$ be a translation surface of type II, that is $S$ is given by the parametrization $r(x, z)=(x, f(x)+g(z), z)$.
Now we compute $H_{e}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{3}$ as

$$
r_{x}=\left(1, f^{\prime}, 0\right), \quad r_{z}=\left(0, g^{\prime}, 1\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{x} \times r_{z}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
i & j & k \\
1 & f^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & g^{\prime} & 1
\end{array}\right|=i\left(f^{\prime}\right)-j(1)+k\left(g^{\prime}\right) \\
r_{x} \times r_{z}=\left(f^{\prime},-1, g^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\left\|r_{x} \times r_{z}\right\|=\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{f^{\prime},-1, g^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbf{N}_{3}=\frac{g^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}}
$$

Also in this case, we have

$$
H_{e}=\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

Therefore by (1.0.3), we have

$$
H=-z\left[\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}}{2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]+\frac{g^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}} .
$$

If $S$ is minimal that is $H=0$, then

$$
0=\sqrt{1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}}\left[-z\left(\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) f^{\prime \prime}+\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime \prime}\right)+2 g^{\prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)\right] .
$$

Dividing on both sides by $\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)$, we get

$$
0=-z\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right]+\frac{2 g^{\prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right]=\frac{2 g^{\prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)} . \tag{4.0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating equation (4.0.11) w.r.t. $x$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
z\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} & =\frac{2 g^{\prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\left[\frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right) 2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}-\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right) 2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{4 g^{\prime} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}-1-f^{\prime 2}-g^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& =\frac{4 g^{\prime} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\left(-g^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& =\frac{-4 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime 3}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we deduce the existance of a real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{-4 a f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{g^{\prime 3}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}=a z . \tag{4.0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a=0$, then $g(y)=p$ is a constant function and from equation (4.0.11), we have

$$
f(x)=m x+n, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Therefore, the surface can be reparametrized as

$$
r(x, z)=(x, m x+n+p, z) .
$$

This surface is vertical Euclidean plane and the surface is a totally geodesic plane, which is the statement of given theorem.

Now we assume that $a \neq 0$ in equation (4.0.12) and we will arrive to a contradiction. In particular $g^{\prime} \neq 0$ and from equation (4.0.12), we have

$$
g^{\prime 3}=a z\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right),
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime 3}-a z g^{\prime 2}-a z=0 \tag{4.0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again from equation (4.0.12), we have

$$
\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{-4 a f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Integrating it, we get

$$
\int\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} d x=-2 a \int \frac{2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} d x
$$

Put $1+f^{\prime 2}=t$, we have

$$
2 f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime} d x=d t
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}} & =-2 a \int \frac{d t}{t^{2}} \\
& =-2 a \int t^{-2} d t \\
& =2 a \frac{1}{t}+b, \quad b \in \mathbb{R} \\
& =\frac{2 a}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+b, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again from equation (4.0.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g^{\prime 3}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}=a z \tag{4.0.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate w.r.t. $z$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\frac{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) 3 g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}-2 g^{\prime} g^{\prime 3} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{3 g^{\prime 2} g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime 4} g^{\prime \prime}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} \\
& =g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{3 g^{\prime 2}\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)-2 g^{\prime 4}}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{3 g^{\prime 2}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}-\frac{2 g^{\prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}} \cdot \frac{g^{\prime 3}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (4.0.14), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =g^{\prime \prime}\left[\frac{3 g^{\prime 2}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}-\frac{2 g^{\prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}} \cdot a z\right] \\
& =\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\left[3 g^{\prime 2}-2 a z g^{\prime}\right] \\
& =\frac{g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\left[3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}=\frac{a\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{3 g^{\prime}-2 a z}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}=\frac{a\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)} . \tag{4.0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right) \neq 0$, since $a \neq 0$ using equation (4.0.15) in (4.0.11), we get

$$
z\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}}{1+g^{\prime 2}}\right)=2 g^{\prime} \frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right.}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
z\left(\frac{2 a}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+b+\frac{a\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right) g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}\right)=2 g^{\prime} \frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right.}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)}
$$

or

$$
z\left(b+2 a \frac{1}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+a \frac{1}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}\right)=\frac{2 g^{\prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)} .
$$

That implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[b+\frac{2 a}{1+f^{\prime 2}}+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}\right] } & =\frac{2 g^{\prime}}{z\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)} \frac{\left.g^{\prime 2}\right)}{g^{\prime 2}} \frac{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{g^{\prime 3}}{z\left(1+g^{\prime 2}\right)} \frac{2\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{g^{\prime}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{2 a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}+g^{\prime 2}\right)}{g^{\prime 2}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
b+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}=\frac{2 a\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}{g^{\prime 2}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}+\frac{2 a g^{\prime 2}}{g^{\prime 2}\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}-\frac{2 a}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)},
$$

or

$$
b+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}=\frac{2 a}{g^{\prime 2}}+\frac{2 a}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)}-\frac{2 a}{\left(1+f^{\prime 2}\right)},
$$

or

$$
b+\frac{a}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}=\frac{2 a}{g^{\prime 2}},
$$

or

$$
\frac{b g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)+a}{g^{\prime}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)}=\frac{2 a}{g^{\prime 2}},
$$

that is

$$
b g^{\prime 2}\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right)+a g^{\prime}=2 a\left(3 g^{\prime}-2 a z\right),
$$

or

$$
3 b g^{\prime 3}-2 a b z g^{\prime 2}+a g^{\prime}=6 a g^{\prime}-4 a^{2} z .
$$

That implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 b g^{\prime 3}-2 a b z g^{\prime 2}-5 a g^{\prime}+4 a^{2} z=0 \tag{4.0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=0$, use this in equation (4.0.16), we have

$$
-5 a g^{\prime}=-4 a^{2} z
$$

that is

$$
g^{\prime}=\frac{4}{5} a z .
$$

By using this value of $g^{\prime}$ in equation (4.0.13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\left(\frac{4}{5} a z\right)^{3}-a z\left(\frac{4}{5} a z\right)^{2}-a z \\
& =\frac{64}{125} a^{3} z^{3}-a^{3} z^{3} \frac{16}{25}-a z \\
& =-\frac{16}{25} a^{3} z^{3}-a z
\end{aligned}
$$

defined in some interval of $\mathbb{R}$, this leads to a contradiction.
Thus we assume $b \neq 0$ in equation (4.0.16).
Set $x=g^{\prime}$ from equation (4.0.13) and (4.0.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 b x^{3}-2 a b z x^{2}-5 a x+4 a^{2} z=0 \tag{4.0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}-a z x^{2}-a z=0 \tag{4.0.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (4.0.18) by $3 b$ and then subtracting from equation (4.0.17), we get

$$
3 b x^{3}-2 a b z x^{2}-5 a x+4 a^{2} z-3 b x^{3}+3 a b z x^{2}+3 a b z=0
$$

or

$$
a b z x^{2}-5 a x+4 a^{2} z+3 a b z=0,
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
b z x^{2}-5 x+4 a z+3 b z=0 \tag{4.0.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly if we multiply equation (4.0.18) by $2 b$ and then subtracting from equation (4.0.17), we get

$$
3 b x^{3}-2 a b z x^{2}-5 a x+4 a^{2} z-2 b x^{3}+2 a b z x^{2}+2 a b z=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b x^{3}-5 a x+4 a^{2} z+2 a b z=0 \tag{4.0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now multiplying equation (4.0.19) by $x$ and equation (4.0.20) by $z$ then subtracting, we get

$$
b z x^{3}-5 x^{2}+4 a z x+3 b z x-b z x^{3}+5 a z x-4 a^{2} z^{2}-2 a b z^{2}=0 .
$$

Implies

$$
-5 x^{2}+9 a z x+3 b z x-4 a^{2} z^{2}-2 a b z^{2}=0,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-5 x^{2}+3 z(3 a+b) x-2 a z^{2}(2 a+b)=0 . \tag{4.0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the above equation by $b z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-5 b z x^{2}+b z(9 a z+3 b z) x-2 a b z^{3}(2 a+b)=0 . \tag{4.0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

On multiplying (4.0.19) by 5 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
5 b z x^{2}-25 x+20 a z+15 b z=0 \tag{4.0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding equation (4.0.22) and (4.0.23), we get

$$
b z(9 a z+3 b z) x-25 x-2 a b z^{3}(2 a+b)+20 a z+15 b z=0,
$$

that is

$$
\left(9 a b z^{2}+3 b^{2} z^{2}-25\right) x-4 a^{2} b z^{3}-2 a b^{2} z^{3}+20 a z+15 b z=0,
$$

or

$$
\left(9 a b z^{2}+3 b^{2} z^{2}-25\right) x=4 a^{2} b z^{3}+2 a b^{2} z^{3}-20 a z-15 b z,
$$

or

$$
\left(9 a b z^{2}+3 b^{2} z^{2}-25\right) x=z\left(-20 a-15 b+4 a^{2} b z^{2}+2 a b^{2} z^{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
x=\frac{z\left(-20 a-15 b+4 a^{2} b z^{2}+2 a b^{2} z^{2}\right)}{\left(9 a b z^{2}+3 b^{2} z^{2}-25\right)} .
$$

Replacing this expression of $x$ in equation (4.0.19), we obtain a polynomial equation on $z$ as

$$
4 a^{2} b^{3}(2 a+b)^{2} z^{7}-b^{2}\left(16 a^{3}-109 a^{2} b-108 a b^{2}-27 b^{3}\right) z^{5}-125 a b^{2} z^{3}=0
$$

and $z$ is defined in some interval of $\mathbb{R}$. This implies $a=b=0$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.
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